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| UFS#5Approved.December 14, Minutes  | **Indiana State UniversityFaculty Senate 2006-07**  |

**Time:**          3:15 p.m.    **Place:**         HMSU, Dede III**Officers:**      Chair S. Lamb, Vice Chair B. Evans, Secretary C. Hoffman**Senators:** S. Allen, C. Amlaner, E. Bermudez, K. Bolinger, E. Brown, J. Buffington, H. Chait, D. Collins, J. Conant, J. Fine, B. Frank, A. Halpern, P. Hightower, J. Hughes,K. Liu, C. Mehrens, M. Miller, G. Minty, T. Mulkey, L. O’Laughlin, S. Pontius, J. Powers, R. Schneirov, S. Shure, T. Steiger, C. Stemmans, G. Stuart, J. Wilson, S. Wolf, D. Worley, D. Yaw, **Absent:** S. Davis, S. Ghosh, T. Hawkins, M. McLean, S. Phillips, G. Zhang**Ex-Officio:**   Provost Maynard **Visitors:**      Sr. “Samy” Anderson, M. Boyer, P. Carino, R. English, M. Green, R. Guell,  H. Hudson, E. Kinley, M. Murphy, C. Rogers, S. Shimer. **I. Memorials**      Memorials were read and accepted by acclamation for Charlotte M. Boener and            Virginia L. White Mitchell. **II. Administrative Report:** Provost Maynard:     1) The New York Times has named Dean McCallister "Academic Librarian of the Year."         She will be honored at a presentation ceremony and reception; this acknowledges the           excellent work of all library personnel in achieving this recognition for the Dean and         the University.    2)  The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching has named Indiana State          University to a new classification of colleges and universities that focuses on          community engagement. Indiana State is one of only two institutions in Indiana, and only 62 in the nation,         included in a new Curricular Engagement and Outreach & Partnerships category that          recognized substantial commitments to both an academic approach to mutually- beneficial and respectful community collaboration, and extensive outreach and partnerships.    3) The Governor has proposed outsourcing the Indiana Lottery, with proceeds to go to education.    4) This Saturday is Commencement. **III. Chair Report:**  Chair Lamb:                      The 360 Presidential review has taken place. Trustee Alley is compiling the results. His letter stated  that the statistical results, along with applicable comments, will be presented in a Summary Report to the University President and the Board of Trustees during an executive session. We have no further information at this time. I am pleased that the administration heard concerns about the full-time temporary faculty as well as some staff who were reduced from full-year to six-month contracts for budgetary reasons and, as a result, were initially denied the one-time $1,100 payment. The Provost recognized the injustice of the situation and reversed the decision.  An additional 18 individuals were awarded the funds.  My sincere thanks to the administration for its willingness to reconsider.             We have received formal reports from both CAAC and the Graduate Council stating that the Program Prioritization process has been followed in a fair and open manner. The two reports concluded that the second of the two charges, identification of programs for possible elimination or reorganization, was successfully completed, but that the first charge, identification of $2 million for reallocation, has not been accomplished. The Program Prioritization Report did make recommendations that CAAC and GC endorsed, including substantial  modification of the General Education program, as sources for the $2 million.            The two committees also endorsed the Task Force statement that deans and department chairs should move forward with the process of eliminating and reorganizing those programs identified in the two lowest groups That process is beginning. The Prioritization Task Force Report as well as the process reviews by both CAAC and GC, however, all insist that curriculum governance procedures be followed.   CAAC and GC assert that an accounting of the freed resources, assuming there are any, be made to the campus community. Both standing committees agree with the Task Force that a compelling need for a clearer, much  more narrowly-defined mission for the University, to provide direction for future strategic decision-making, exists.            The Executive Committee has asked CAAC to play a central role in the creation of a more narrowly-defined missionstatement. Both reports (from CAAC and Graduate Council) concerning the evaluation of the Program Prioritization process will be found on the Academic Affairs web site.           CAAC and Graduate Council have also produced Transition Reports that are primarily concerned with those programs placed in category 4, the lowest category. There were apparently 13 four-year undergraduate programs in this fourth category. These programs were asked to respond to CAAC, indicating their intentions. It appears from their responses that only 1 or 2 have chosen outright elimination. Eight or nine have elected consolidation, reorganization, or integration with another program, thereby eliminating their respective major codes but not necessarily altering the program or offerings.  Also, two of the thirteen programs seem to be contesting elimination. Are there substantial savings here?             CAAC recommends that those choosing straightforward elimination submit the appropriate F2 forms immediately. CAAC also strongly recommends that those programs arguing for consolidation, reorganization, or integration have until the end of spring semester 07 to submit paperwork.  There were apparently 21graduate programs placed in the fourth category.  In a parallel manner, these programs were asked to respond to GC indicating their intentions. Six of the 21 are choosing outright elimination. Eleven  will chose to eliminate their respective major codes by choosing consolidation, reorganization, or integration with other programs. The five remaining programs are still undergoing deliberation.            Again, GC recommends that those choosing straightforward elimination submit the appropriate F2 forms immediately. GC also strongly recommends that those programs arguing for consolidation, reorganization, or integration have until the end of spring semester 07 to submit paperwork.            The Executive Committee continues to request evidence of substantial administrative budget cuts. I would very much appreciate the Faculty Senate being supplied with credible evidence of budgetary cuts across all segments of the administration.  All segments of the University, not just Academic Affairs, should be engaged in achieving efficiency.            I congratulate the entire faculty for recognition by the Carnegie Foundation classifying ISU as one of only two institutions in Indiana, and only 62 in the nation, to be included in a new Curricular Engagement and Outreach and Partnerships category.  Congratulations are also extended to the Dean of Library Services for being named one of three academic librarians to receive the 2006 New York Times Librarians' Award for Public Service and Leadership.  Congratulations to Library personnel as well.            That concludes my report. There may be questions concerning program prioritization in the 15-minute open discussion period. Charles, I understand you have a report as well.   C. Hoffman made the following presentation:         As you all know, Mary Corenflos is retiring at the end of this semester, having served the Senate since 1996 – that's 10 years of dealing with us.  Though we are sorry to see her go, we recognize and accept that ten years in her job is probably enough for anyone.         Mary --  The senators, and especially the officers, have appreciated your careful, conscientious work over the years, and all of us wish to recognize you for a job well done.  Someone once said that "Money isn't everything, but it's way ahead of  whatever is in second place," so senators, past and present, have contributed to a going-away gift to express their high regard for you and your service to us.           The card is endorsed:  " In grateful recognition of your 10 years of service to the Faculty Senate, and with best wishes on  your retirement," and is signed:  "Past and Present Faculty Senators -- Dec. 14, 2006." The Senate and guests gave Ms. Corenflos a standing ovation. S. Lamb noted that he had hired Ms. Corenflos in 1996, that she had effectively reorganized and very much improved the operation of the Faculty Senate Office, and that her work had been very much appreciated by all the Senate chairs during her years of service.  M. Corenflos expressed her appreciation to the senators for their consideration both today and over the years. **IV. SGA :**  A.J. Patton:       Thanks to all professors who submitted book order in timely fashion. The SGA continues to work on the Bus Initiative — an on-line referendum was administered.  **V. Fifteen Minute Open Discussion**    **Program Prioritization**  -  Points addressed:       Faculty input is through department chairs, who are the contacts for the Prioritization          Committee.  Responses so far indicate that faculty have been involved.         Considerable effort has been expended in this initiative.       There is hope that the effects will be substantial and positive.       Uncertainty exists concerning resultant savings. Budgetary information should be        provided for all segments of the University. **VI. Minutes of November 16** – APPROVED as amended (Mulkey, Hightower 34-0-0)  **VII. Action Item:**         Faculty Affairs Committee:               Addition (in bold) to Catalog language concerning academic dismissal of freshmen: Students who meet the following criteria will be academically dismissed:    **a. First-term students who earn a term grade point average of 1.00 or less.**               Friendly amendment:  “first term students” will be defined to clarify that the change does not apply to transfer students.        APPROVED (Hightower, Liu 34-0-0)  **VIII. Information Item: Payroll Calendar**       C. Rogers and M. Green provided an overview and explanation.           Change: The faculty annual salary will be divided into 10 payments (rather than 9) and the first payday will be Sept. 1. The 12-pay option remains.          Clarifications: Faculty will not be going to 10-month contracts; they will work the same number of days. Because faculty will receive one additional pay in the Fall term, individual adjustments in tax withholding may be necessary. **IX.  Old Business:**  None. **X.   New Business**: None. **XI. Standing Committee Reports** – Senators provided updates of committee activity since the last Senate meeting:              AAC  --  Completed and forwarded the requested "white paper" to the Exec. Committee              FAC   –  Discussing proposed changes in Faculty Awards.  A report will be forthcoming.              FEBC –  Discussions continue with G. Floyd concerning health and retirement benefits.              GRAD Council – Extensive discussion of Program Prioritization.               SAC  --  The Faculty Scholarship Fund is growing.  Donations are encouraged.              URC  –  Committee's work is complete **XII. Adjournment:**  The meeting adjourned at 4:10 p.m. (acclamation)   Respectfully submitted, C. Hoffman, Secretary
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