

Approved: 2-18-13

CAAC #13

INDIANA STATE UNIVERSITY
FACULTY SENATE
CURRICULUM AND ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

CAAC 2012-2013
Steven Lamb, Chair
February 4, 2013
MINUTES #13

Members Present: J. Decker, S. Kiger, R. Guell, S. Lamb, R. McGiverin, D. Malooley, R. Peters, G. Zhang

Student members:

Ex-officio: L. Brown, D. Collins, R. English, L. Maule, B. McLaren, C. Otts, Y. Peterson, S. Powers

Executive Committee Liaison: T. Sawyer

Absent: S. Frey: Excused

Guests: B. Sims, E. Bermudez, M. Sterling, J. Simmons, C. McLaren

S. Lamb called the meeting to order at 11:02 AM.

1. A motion to approve the Minutes of Meeting #12 (1-28-13), was made and passed, 5-0-1, (Kiger/Peters).
2. A motion to approve the proposal from the Applied Health Sciences for revision of the Food and Nutrition BS Program was made and passed, 6-0-0, (Guell/Kiger). *Publish as Approved in Academic Notes.*
3. A motion to approve the proposal from the Baccalaureate Nursing for revision of the Nursing Major-Accelerated Second Degree was made and passed, 6-0-0, (Guell/Kiger). *Publish as Approved in Academic Notes.*
4. A motion to approve the proposal from the Baccalaureate Nursing for revision of the Nursing Major-Traditional Track for Students Entering without R.N. or L.P.N. Licensure was made and passed, 6-0-0, (Guell/Kiger). *Publish as Approved in Academic Notes.*
5. A motion to take from the table the proposal from the COT Built Environment for revision of the Interior Design Major was made and passed, 6-0-0, (Malooley/Decker).
6. A motion to approve the proposal from the COT Built Environment for revision of the Interior Design Major was made. B. Sims and R. English expressed concerns and support for the program. R. English iterated three points: 1). Concern is acknowledged that the program has not met the goals. These revisions may help impact those enrollments. If one course is

accepted as a course in sustainability into the FS program, then that will hopefully increase generated SCH's. 2). A minor in IAD could fit nicely into the COT and University adding great potential. 3). Another degree program, the B.S of Architectural Engineering Technology, would be an additional positive factor that has the possibility of sharing courses in the BIM area. (Building Information Modeling). M. Sterling additionally stated that following discussion with the BE IAD Faculty, their desire was to keep the proposal in the presented format and are seeking support while acknowledging the possible risks. Following the discussion period, the question was called and the motion passed, 7-1-0, (Guell/Kiger). *Forward to Executive Committee for action.*

7. A motion to waive the rules and allow immediate voting on proposals and approve the proposal to reduce the required 300-400 level credits from 50 to 45 was made and passed, 7-0-1, (Guell/Kiger). *Forward to Executive Committee for action.*
8. R. English presented the proposal from the College of Technology for a **NEW** degree program: Bachelor of Applied Science, BAS, with multiple concentrations. There has been a good deal of positive input from the Commission for the need of this program. Ivy Tech is very supportive. This could make ISU a leader in Indiana as well as the nation. S. Powers has had conversations with Ken Sauer who is supportive also. Ivy Tech has multiple AS and AAS programs and there is currently no differentiation for the AAS degree. A single CIP Code will govern all BAS degree programs but the different tracks will each will have a distinct program code. Several institutions are beginning to see the potential for the many thousands of students holding the AAS degree. It is intended to be on-line. This is not an on-campus degree program. It recruits a group of individuals who cannot be currently helped by ISU. Since it will be open only to students having a completed AAS degree, it effectively eliminates current ISU students from entering the program. The benefit will be that only new students will be added to the enrollments.
9. E. Bermudez presented the proposal from Applied Health Sciences for revision of the Community Health Minor including title change to Public Health Minor.
10. A motion to approve the proposal from Applied Health Sciences for revision of the Community Health Minor including title change to Public Health Minor was made and passed, 8-0-0, (Guell/Kiger). *Publish as approved in Academic Notes.*
11. E. Bermudez presented the proposal from Applied Health Sciences for a **NEW** degree program: Bachelor of Applied Science, BAS. As with the BAS from the COT, this will be only for students in a particular area, Health Sciences, who possess the appropriate AAS degree. It will be an on-line offering only.
12. There was a brief discussion of the proposal from LLL for revision of the BA Language Studies-Teaching Major program. It is a result of previously approved proposals from LLL in response to accreditation issues.

13. A motion to approve the proposal from LLL for revision of the BA Language Studies-Teaching Major program was made and passed, 7-0-0, (Guell/Kiger). *Publish as Approved in Academic Notes.*
14. S. Lamb discussed the revised language in the Administrative Structure Task Force Recommendation. The Primary Authority rests with CAAC for these situations and this creates a methodology for the process that results in a recommendation from CAAC concerning the proposed structural changes to then be sent forward for consideration. A motion to approve the revised document was made and passed, 7-0-0, (Guell/Kiger). *Forward to Executive Committee for action.*
15. C. McLaren facilitated discussion on the Baccalaureate Task Force Recommendation. There are no easy solutions. Curriculum can only go so far in making a student progress through a program. If students join a major in the initial semester, it is acknowledged that this helps with retention, etc. However, many students do change their majors. How can departments make it easier for students to be flexible in completing a selected major they declared after the first semester? The document recommends that CAAC develop a procedure of program review. It is reasonable that the professional programs do have a responsibility to the students to assure they are competent in their fields. It is also important to make it possible for the student to be able to complete a program in a timely manner. It is acknowledged this will be a massive undertaking. Is there a method that a program can make informed choices on their own rather than having a campus wide review? Is it practical for programs to consider a total review of each major to determine the possibility of retaining all the necessary competencies but create a revised program that cover those competencies in fewer courses? CAAC will need to create a process. Members are asked to consider how to proceed for the next meeting.
16. S. Powers iterated that there are still several programs that have not submitted the necessary paperwork to meet the 120 Credit mandate. The catalog deadline is February 14th.
17. The Committee adjourned at 12:27 PM

Respectfully Submitted
David J. Malooley, Secretary