

Approved: 10-23-12

CAAC #3

INDIANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY SENATE  
CURRICULUM AND ACADEMIC AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

CAAC 2012-2013  
Steven Lamb, Chair  
October 16, 2012  
MINUTES #3

Members Present: P. Cochrane, J. Decker, S. Frey, S. Kiger, R. Guell, S. Lamb, R. McGiverin,  
D. Malooley, G. Zhang

Student members:

Ex-officio: L. Brown, D. Collins, L. Maule, C. Otts, Y. Peterson, S. Powers

Executive Committee Liaison: T. Sawyer

Absent:

Guests: K. Yousif, L. Barratt

S. Lamb called the meeting to order at 1:00 PM.

1. A motion to approve the Minutes of Meeting #2 (10-9-12) was made and passed, 8-0-1, (Cochrane/McGiverin).
2. L. Barrett presented the Proposal from LLL for revision of the English as a New Language Minor including a name change to English as a Second Language Minor .
3. A motion to approve the Proposal from the Department of Art seeking a name change from the Department of Art to the Department of Art and Design Degree was made and passed, 9-0-0, (Guell/Zhang). *Forward to Senate Executive Committee.*
4. A motion to approve the Proposal from Interdisciplinary Programs seeking a name change of the AB/BS of Liberal Studies to the AB/BS of Multidisciplinary Studies Degree was made and passed, 9-0-0, (Zhang/Guell). *Publish as Approved in Academic Notes.*
5. A motion to approve the Proposal from Interdisciplinary Programs seeking to add a new Minor of Multidisciplinary Studies Degree was made and passed, 9-0-0, (Guell/Zhang). *Forward to Senate Executive Committee.*
6. A motion to approve the Proposal from the Center of Science Education for the Science Education major seeking exemption from the 120 Credit limit, 9-0-0, (Guell/Zhang). *Forward to Senate Executive Committee.*

7. A motion to approve the Proposal from the School of Music for the Bachelor of Music Education seeking exemption from the 120 Credit limit, 9-0-0, (Guell/Zhang). *Forward to Senate Executive Committee.*
8. A motion to approve the Proposal from the Department of Biology for the BS of Biology with specialization in Medical Laboratory Science seeking exemption from the 120 Credit limit, 9-0-0, (Guell/Zhang). *Forward to Senate Executive Committee.*
9. A motion to approve the Proposal from the Department of Art for the Bachelor of Fine Arts seeking exemption from the 120 Credit limit, 9-0-0, (Guell/Zhang). *Forward to Senate Executive Committee.*
10. R. Guell presented the following motion:

As the Executive Committee liaison to CAAC and CAAC members have both indicated that questions will be raised at their respective review levels regarding the overall structure of student success efforts at ISU that include both an AVP for Student Success and a University College Dean, CAAC requests that the University College study committee provide input to CAAC on the question of whether separated administrative offices and personnel lines are required or wise. Specifically,

- 1) does the study committee believe that both positions should exist with separate functions and reporting lines?
- 2) does the study committee believe that one position should report to the other?
- 3) does there exist an alternative student success structure that the study committee would have put forward had it felt the administration was open to modifying its vision for an overall structure for student success?

The motion was provided a second by S. Lamb. T. Sawyer raised a point of order in that the UC Task Force was charged by the Provost, neither CAAC or the Senate Executive Committee may put forth charges to that body. S. Lamb opened the discussion that charges have often been put forth without input from a Standing Committee. This motion simply requests input from the Task Force on relevant efficiencies throughout campus. The questions are asking the UCTF for a collective opinion. The Provost has stated that the AVP position will not go to a national search; it remains a temporary position while the UC is being established and evaluated. It was mentioned that the UCTF was not charged with this issue, so it did not consider it. It was stated that this motion was intended to seek suggestions and hypothesis as a minimum. L. Maule iterated that the UCTF has completed its charge and these issues are being directed to the wrong body, but should instead be directed to the Provost and President. Chair Lamb agrees that that was fair and reasonable and asked the CAAC members to carefully consider the report once it is sent to CAAC for review. Such questions must be investigated not with any preconceived mindset, but seen as reasonable issues. CAAC has the responsibility to raise questions, about and has the primary authority for, academic structures. Alternative structures may be presented. It is critical that CAAC view the report and recommendations with an open and clear mind. A great deal of time and effort was expended by the UCTF in completion of their charge. The issue of

a promised outside search for the AVP position was once again raised as well as the short suspense date that actually caused the UCTF to exercise its duty over the summer without broad faculty participation. The Senate, as well as the Executive Committee, have often been placed in a position where input has been limited or impossible due to very short suspense dates. It was noted that many members on the UCTF were faculty though some serve in an administrative position. It was stated that some faculty are dissatisfied with the lack of response from the UCTF to suggestions that were sent to them. L. Maule iterated that every suggestion was acknowledged and carefully considered. Since the final report has not been released, it is impossible for such judgments to be made. In response to the lack of faculty and the timeframe of operation, S. Lamb reminded the committee that initially, the President desired immediate action on the question and the Senate requested for the creation of a task force to study the proposal and make a recommendation. This was agreed upon and the final report is to be sent to CAAC through the Exec. Each will have an opportunity to agree or disagree and make their own recommendations. ISU has seen great successes due to the speed that the President has set in many initiatives. The Exec did indeed serve a role in the selection of the UCTF membership. It was suggested that a round table discussion of everyone involved should be considered. Questions as; what might the relationship between the AVP and UC be during the two year evaluation period, etc. CAAC is seeking information and desire to see what was considered by the UCTF in their deliberations and do they hold an opinion? These questions are intended to allow CAAC to create an informed opinion.

The question was called and the motion passed 5-4-0, (Guell/Lamb).

11. The Committee adjourned at 1:56 PM

Respectfully Submitted  
David J. Malooley, Secretary