



415 North Charles Street Baltimore, MD 21201
+1.410.347.7700 www.abet.org

August 22, 2018

Daniel J. Bradley
President
Indiana State University
Office of the President
Terre Haute, IN 47809

Dear Dr. Bradley :

I am pleased to transmit to you the findings of the Applied and Natural Sciences Accreditation Commission (ANSAC) of ABET with respect to the evaluation conducted for Indiana State University during 2017-2018. Each of ABET's Commissions is fully authorized to take the actions described in the accompanying letter under the policies of the ABET Board of Directors.

We are pleased that your institution has elected to participate in this accreditation process. This process, which is conducted by approximately 2,000 ABET volunteers from the professional community, is designed to advance and assure the quality of professional education. We look forward to our continuing shared efforts toward this common goal.

Sincerely,

Michael R. Lightner
President

Enclosure: Commission letter and attachments



415 North Charles Street Baltimore, MD 21201
+1.410.347.7700 www.abet.org

August 22, 2018

Ronald Allen
Associate Dean
Indiana State University
650 Cherry Street
Terre Haute, IN 47809

Dear Dr. Allen :

The Applied and Natural Sciences Accreditation Commission (ANSAC) of ABET recently held its 2018 Summer Meeting to act on the program evaluations conducted during 2017-2018. Each evaluation was summarized in a report to the Commission and was considered by the full Commission before a vote was taken on the accreditation action. The results of the evaluation for Indiana State University are included in the enclosed Summary of Accreditation Actions. The Final Statement to your institution that discusses the findings on which each action was based is also enclosed.

The policy of ABET is to grant accreditation for a limited number of years, not to exceed six, in all cases. The period of accreditation is not an indication of program quality. Any restriction of the period of accreditation is based upon conditions indicating that compliance with the applicable accreditation criteria must be strengthened. Continuation of accreditation beyond the time specified requires a reevaluation of the program at the request of the institution as noted in the accreditation action. ABET policy prohibits public disclosure of the period for which a program is accredited. For further guidance concerning the public release of accreditation information, please refer to Section II.A. of the 2017-2018 Accreditation Policy and Procedure Manual (available at www.abet.org).

A list of accredited programs is published annually by ABET. Information about ABET accredited programs at your institution will be listed in the forthcoming ABET Accreditation Yearbook and on the ABET web site (www.abet.org).

It is the obligation of the officer responsible for ABET accredited programs at your institution to notify ABET of any significant changes in program title, personnel, curriculum, or other factors which could affect the accreditation status of a program during the period of accreditation stated in Section II.H. of the 2017-2018 Accreditation Policy and Procedure Manual (available at www.abet.org).

ABET requires that each accredited program publicly state the program's educational objectives and student outcomes as well as publicly post annual student enrollment and graduation data as stated in Section II.A.6. of the Accreditation Policy and Procedure Manual (available at www.abet.org).

ABET will examine all newly accredited programs' websites within the next two weeks to ensure compliance.

Please note that appeals are allowed only in the case of Not to Accredited actions. Also, such appeals may be based only on the conditions stated in Section II.L. of the 2017-2018 Accreditation Policy and Procedure Manual (available at www.abet.org).

Sincerely,



Robert D. Soule, Chair
Applied and Natural Sciences Accreditation Commission

Enclosure: Summary of Accreditation Action
Final Statement

cc: Daniel J. Bradley, President
Andrew Payne, Chair and Professor
Ralph W. Goodson, Team Chair



8/22/2018

Applied and Natural Sciences Accreditation Commission

Summary of Accreditation Actions
for the
2017-2018 Accreditation Cycle

Indiana State University
Terre Haute, IN

Safety Management (B.S.)

Accredit to September 30, 2022. A request to ABET by January 31, 2021 will be required to initiate a reaccreditation evaluation visit. In preparation for the visit, a Self-Study Report must be submitted to ABET by July 01, 2021. The reaccreditation evaluation will be a comprehensive general review.



Applied & Natural Science Accreditation Commission

Final Statement of Accreditation
to

Indiana State University
Terre Haute, IN

2017-2018 Accreditation Cycle

ABET
APPLIED AND NATURAL SCIENCE ACCREDITATION COMMISSION

INDIANA STATE UNIVERSITY
Terre Haute, Indiana

FINAL STATEMENT
Evaluation under the Applied Science Criteria
and Accreditation Policy and Procedure Manual 2015-2016
Visit Dates: October 4-6, 2015
Interim Report Submitted Aug. 8, 2017

Introduction

The Applied and Natural Science Accreditation Commission (ANSAC) of ABET has evaluated the Safety Management Program of Indiana State University. This program was visited in October 2015, and the final statement resulting from that visit required submission of an Interim Report by the program with respect to weaknesses identified in the program. This statement is a result of the review of that Interim Report.

ABET's accreditation action will be based upon the findings summarized in this statement. Actions will be dependent on the program's range of compliance or non-compliance with the criteria. This range can be construed from the following wording:

- **Deficiency:** A deficiency indicates that a criterion, policy, or procedure is not satisfied. Therefore, the program is not in compliance with the criterion, policy, or procedure.
- **Weakness:** A weakness indicates that a program lacks the strength of compliance with a criterion, policy, or procedure to ensure that the quality of the program will not be compromised. Therefore, remedial action is required to strengthen compliance with the criterion, policy, or procedure prior to the next evaluation.
- **Concern:** A concern indicates that, while a criterion, policy or procedure is currently satisfied, the potential exists for this situation to change in the near future, such that the cited criterion, policy or procedure may no longer be satisfied.

- Observation: An observation is a comment or suggestion which does not relate directly to the criteria being used for evaluation but is offered to assist the institution in its continuing efforts to improve its programs.

PROGRAM EVALUATION**Safety Management Program**
Bachelor of Science

Evaluation under the ASAC Program Criteria for
Safety
and Similarly Named Applied Science Programs

Summary of Pertinent Sections from the Previous Final Statement

The pertinent sections from the Final Statement issued in 2016, are shown below:

Program Weakness

1. Criterion 4. Continuous Improvement: states, “The program must regularly use appropriate, documented processes for assessing and evaluating the extent to which the student outcomes are being attained. The results of these evaluations must be systematically utilized as input for the continuous improvement of the program.” Because of the weakness associated with the review and revision of student outcomes, previously discussed, there is insufficient input regarding the extent to which student outcomes are being achieved and completion of continuous improvement actions is not possible.
 - 7-Day Response: None received
 - 30-Day Due Process Response: The institution stated that completion of the assessment cycle will take place during Spring semester, 2016, and with that the results will be used to implement any necessary program modifications suggested by the assessment outcomes.
 - Status After 30-Day Due Process Response: This weakness remains until completion of the assessment cycle and implementation of any necessary program changes are documented.
 - Post 30-Day Due Process Response: The program provided evidence that the full assessment cycle had been completed during spring semester 2016. Plans were underway to implement program changes warranted by the assessment outcomes and a commitment was made to repeat the overall assessment process on a three-year cycle.

- Status After Post 30-Day Due Process Response: *This weakness remains until documentation is provided that the program changes necessitated by the assessment outcomes have been implemented.*
- Review of the Interim Report and Conclusions: The program provided information that in consultation with the program constituents, the Program Educational Objectives were revised. Those new Program Educational Objectives were provided in the narrative presented. In addition, the program and its constituents agreed to migrate the Student Learning Objectives to the ABET ANSAC Criterion 3 A through K, and Safety Program Criteria Curricular Components 1-8, replacing the previous Program Student Learning Objectives 1.1-7.2. A new assessment rubric was installed in fall of 2016, as a result of those changes. This information indicates that the Continuous Improvement Process is being implemented.
- Status After 30-Day Due Process Response: This criterion is satisfied. The weakness is resolved.