Student Outcomes Assessment and Success Report AY2020-21

Unit/Program Name: Management Contact Name(s) and Email(s) Aruna Chandra, aruna.chandrasekaran@indstate.edu

Part 1a: Summary of Student Learning Outcomes Assessment

NOTE: If data is missing due to COVID-19 transition issues, please describe these issues, their impact on your ability to assess student learning, and what, if anything, will change as a result.

| a. What learning outcomes did you assess this past year? If this is an under graduate program with two outcomes assessed 2.2 and 3.3. | b. (1) What assignments or activities did you use to determine how well your students attained the outcome? (2) In what course or other required experience did the assessment occur? | c. What were your expectations for student performance? Target (all outcomes) 90% Proficient | d. What were the actual data/results? | e. What changes or improvements were made or will be made in response to these assessment results or feedback from previous year's report? Can expand on this in Part 2. |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1. Outcome 2.2 Assess Management Effectiveness or Human Resources (students will assess management effectiveness of human resources in the workforce) | (1) Students will understand the management effectiveness of human resources in the workforce (2) BUS 371-Assigment Mid Term Exam | Deficient: Occurs when a student missed at least one of the four sample questions. Proficient: Requirements met when a student answered all Four of the sample questions correctly. | Deficient: 2/45 (4%) Proficient: 43/45 (96.%) Target Met | An In-depth lecture was made available to students during the Mid Term Review Process |
| 2. Outcome 3.3 Understand Cultural Diversity Context (domestic and international) of managing people in a work setting (Students will have an understanding of the cultural diversity context of managing people in a work setting). | (1) Students will have an understanding of the cultural diversity context of managing people in a work setting). (2) BUS 371-Assignment Final Exam | Deficient: Occurs when a student missed at least one of the four sample questions. Proficient: Requirements met when a student answered all Four of the sample questions correctly. | Deficient: 4/45 (8%) Proficient: 41/45 (92%) Target Met | An In-depth lecture was made available to students during the Final Review Process |

Part 1b: Review of Student Success Data & Activities

An Excel file (SCOB_Student_Success_Data_2020_2021) accompanies this report

Use <u>Blue Reports</u> to generate the following information (as well as any other information helpful to you). A dashboard has been created in the Chairs view:

1) Cohort Sizes 2) Year-to-Year Retention 3) 5-Year Graduation Rate (undergraduate); Average time to completion (graduate)

Management

Number of Majors Enrolled

| | Fall | | Fall | |
|---------------|------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Student Level | 2017 | Fall 2018 | 2019 | Fall 2020 |
| Undergraduate | 95 | 80 | 63 | 40 |

UG Degree Seekers Fall to Fall Return Rates

| | Fall | | Fall | | Fall | |
|---------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Student Level | 2015 | Fall 2016 | 2017 | Fall 2018 | 2019 | Fall 2020 |
| Undergraduate | 69.07% | 78.75% | 64.37% | 85.19% | 76.67% | 87.10% |

5 Year Retention

| | Fall | | Fall | | Fall | | Fall | | Fall | | Fall | |
|------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| | 2011 | Fall 2011 | 2012 | Fall 2012 | 2013 | Fall 2013 | 2014 | Fall 2014 | 2015 | Fall 2015 | 2016 | Fall 2016 |
| | | Cohort |
| | Cohort | Graduatio |
| | Total | n % |
| Management | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (7132) | 30 | 76.67% | 23 | 82.61% | 26 | 88.46% | 29 | 68.97% | 42 | 40.48% | 32 | 50.00% |

What worked well in supporting student success this year?

Student success is inextricably related to career readiness. MISBE focused on integrating career readiness into all classes in a variety of ways. We use department meetings as a vehicle to share and discuss career readiness efforts in classes with a view to disseminating best practices.

Another initiative linked to the career readiness was focused on holding special meetings with faculty teaching core courses at the 100/300/400 levels. The intent was to create seamless links among career readiness assignments in these classes to provide students a cumulative experience in certain areas, such as building their LinkedIn profiles integrating theory from the classes and building on the previous ones.

What are the most significant opportunities for improvement upon which to focus in the coming year?

New beginnings provide new opportunities. As we transition to the new Canvas LMS, we see tremendous opportunity for faculty to rethink pedagogy and to infuse new practices / technologies in our classes. We will provide faculty an opportunity to share best practices in Canvas as we learn and acclimatize to the new LMS.

We will be focusing on the pedagogy in distance classes as well as the 'substantive' interaction requirement in these classes which is open to interpretation but quite firmly defined by the Department of Education. This is a non-negotiable requirement of distance classes that could potentially hurt student financial aid / learning experience as well as the university, if it is found to be in violation of the 'substantive' interaction requirement in pure Web classes.

Part 2: Continuous Quality Improvement

Reflect on the information shared above regarding student learning, success, and career readiness. In no more than one page, summarize:

- 1) the discoveries assessment and data review have enabled you to make about student learning, success, and career readiness (ex:
 - **a.** What specifically do students know and do well—and less well?
 - Students did very well on Outcomes 2.2 and 3.3. We will continue to cover those topics thoroughly in the BUS 371 course and to study both Mid Term and Final Exam results to ensure sure that students leave the course with the information to apply in their employment roles as managers.
 - **b.** What evidence can you provide that learning is improving?
 - Relative to that cycle, performance on 2.2 and 3.3 have improved. Managerial and Analytical competencies have improved significantly. Performance on Technical has dropped, but only slightly.
 - **c.** How might learning, success, and career readiness overlap?
 - Learning outcomes in the Management program are informed in part by communication with potential employers and current alums.
 - **d.** What questions do your findings raise?
 - Program faculty are always interested in making the most current trends in industry regarding career readiness a part of the program. Assessment results are always used to promote industry awareness of what skills employers are looking for.

- 2) findings-based plans and actions intended to improve student learning and/or success (expansion of Part 1a, box e as needed)

 Noted in Part 1a, box e
- 3) what your assessment plan will focus on in the coming year
 - **a.** In the upcoming (now current) assessment cycle, the following learning outcomes will be assessed.

The following outcomes will be the focus for 2021-2022:

- 1.2 Have an understanding of the vocabulary and concepts associated with the management of people in the workforce
- 2.3 Be able to assess the appropriateness of organizational structure in a business
- 3.2 Have an understanding of the legal context of the management of people in a work setting
 - 4) how this information will be shared with other stakeholders
 - **a.** Program assessment results are shared with faculty at program meetings and department meetings. Meeting minutes will reflect presentation of results, analysis, and proposed changes in response.

Thank you so much for sharing your assessment process and findings for AY 2020-21 with the Assessment Council. You will find feedback and ratings on the rubric below. It is understood that some of the feedback might encompass practices that you already engage in but were not documented in this report. As the purpose of this evaluation is focused on recognizing great work and helping faculty improve assessment practice, it is not necessary to retroactively add documentation. Please feel free to let me know if you have any questions or if there is any way I can assist you in further developing assessment practice and use in your program.

This report will be shared with the Associate Dean(s) and Dean of your college and summarized findings will be shared as composite college/institutional data with the President's Office and the Provost's team.

Sincerely,

Kelley (x7975)

| Program: Management B.S. | Overall Rating: Mature (2.69/3.00) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Strengths | Recommendations | | | |
| Learning outcomes are clear and measurable. Good information is provided to contextualize the specific knowledge and skills to be gained. Measures are clear, and it is noted that specific exam questions aligned to the specific outcomes produce the data for analysis. Expectations for performance are clear, and actual performance data is shared relative to these expectations. Notes are provided about the pedagogical strategies used to support student learning, as well as reflection on how student performance has improved compared to past cycles. Clear information is provided about how results are shared with faculty and used to discuss program changes to support ongoing learning and improvement. | Since these outcomes are solely measured from one course using exam questions, consider adding an additional measure to provide more insight into student learning. Another data point from another point in the curriculum, from a more high-impact learning experience (experiential learning, project-based learning, internship, etc.), and/or an indirect measure such as internship evaluations or student reflections would help to provide more support for the findings. Adding a brief note about how exam question answers were evaluated will help to clarify how the scores were determined (rubric, checklist, etc.) | | | |

Student Outcomes Assessment & Success Report Rubric Office of Assessment & Accreditation, Indiana State University

| Evaluation | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
|-------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Criteria | Exemplary | Mature | Developing | Undeveloped |
| Student | Identified, aligned learning | Identified, aligned learning | Learning outcomes are identified | No learning outcomes are |
| Learning | outcomes are specific, | outcomes are specific, | and alignment with courses is | identified, and/or alignment of |
| Outcomes | measurable, student-centered, | measurable, student-centered, | demonstrated. | learning outcomes to courses is |
| Outcomes | and program-level. Outcomes | and program-level. Outcomes | demonstrated. | not demonstrated (e.g. – |
| | directly integrate institution or | support institution or college- | Outcomes are consistent across | curriculum map). |
| | college-level learning goals. | level learning goals. | modes of delivery (if applicable). | carriediam mapy. |
| | semege rever rearrining gearer | To the real times go and | modes of delitery (in application). | |
| | Outcomes are consistent across | Outcomes are consistent across | At least one outcomes is | |
| | modes of delivery (if applicable). | modes of delivery (if applicable). | assessed this cycle. | |
| | | | | |
| | More than one outcome is | At least one outcome is assessed | | |
| | assessed this cycle, and rationale | this cycle. | | |
| | is provided for why they were | | | |
| | selected for assessment. | | | |
| Performance | Performance goals are clear and | Performance goals are clear and | Performance goals are identified | No goals for student |
| Goals & | appropriate, and rationale is | appropriate. | with little rationale or clarity. | performance of learning |
| Measures | provided for why these were | | | outcomes are identified, and/or |
| | selected. | Identified measures and tools are | Identified measures are poorly | no measures are provided. |
| | | assigned to each outcome, are | suited to performance goals, | |
| | Identified measures and tools are | clear and intentionally designed | underdeveloped, or are solely | |
| | assigned to each outcome, are | to address student performance | indirect measures. | |
| | clear and intentionally designed | on aligned outcomes, and | | |
| | to address student performance | examples are provided (e.g. – | | |
| | on aligned outcomes, and | rubrics, checklists, exam keys). At least one direct measure is | | |
| | rationale and examples are | included. | | |
| | provided (e.g. – rubrics, checklists, exam keys). Most are | included. | | |
| | direct measures, and their design | | | |
| | enhances the validity of findings. | | | |
| | childred the validity of findings. | | | |
| | Licensure exams and high-impact | | | |
| | practices are reflected in | | | |
| | measures (if applicable). | | | |

Unit/Program: Management B.S.

Evaluation Date: Fall 2021

| Analysis & | Data collection process is clear | Data collection process is clear | Description of data collection is | No information is provided |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Results | and designed to produce | and designed to produce | unclear as to process and quality. | about the data collection |
| | valid/trustworthy results. The | valid/trustworthy results. | , | process, and/or no data is being |
| | process is useful to those | | Some data is collected and | collected. |
| | collecting and/or interpreting | Data is collected and analyzed | analyzed with little rationale or | |
| | data. | with clear rationale and | description. | No results are provided. |
| | | description. | | |
| | Data is collected and analyzed | | Some results are provided with | |
| | with clear rationale and | Results are provided with some | no discussion of analysis. | |
| | description. | discussion of analysis. | , | |
| | | | | |
| | Results are provided with | | | |
| | thoughtful discussion of analysis | | | |
| | and description of conclusions | | | |
| | that can be drawn. | | | |
| Sharing & Use | A plan for sharing information | A plan for sharing information | Information is provided about | No information is provided about |
| of Results for | and included program faculty | broadly across program faculty is | sharing results, but sharing is | sharing results and/or plans for |
| Continuous | and appropriate staff in | detailed and enacted. | limited in scope or content. | improvement or change based |
| Improvement | discussion and planning is | | | on results. |
| | detailed and enacted. Outcomes | Plans for improvement or change | Plans for improvement or change | |
| | and results are easily accessible | based on results are clear and | based on results are incomplete, | No evidence of reflection on |
| | on the program website or other | connected to results. If few | vague, or not clearly connected | results in provided. |
| | appropriate designated area. | students met performance goals, | to results. | |
| | | this is included in discussion and | | |
| | Plans for improvement or change | plans. | Little reflection is offered about | |
| | based on results are clear and | | results or plans moving forward. | |
| | connected to results. If few | Reflection is offered about | | |
| | students met performance goals, | results or plans moving forward. | | |
| | this is included in discussion and | | | |
| | <mark>plans.</mark> | | | |
| | Reflection if offered about | | | |
| | results or plans moving forward, | | | |
| | and compares prior year plans to | | | |
| | current outcomes in an effort to | | | |
| | foster continuous improvement | | | |
| | as a result of assessment | | | |
| | process. | | | |
| Overall Rating | □ Exemplary | □ Mature | ☐ Developing | □ Undeveloped |
| O T C T C T T T C T T T T T T T T T T T | - Lacinplai y | _ matale | - Deteloping | - Chacaciopea |