Academic Program:	Social Work	Date:	11/20/22
Author(s):	Robin P Bonifas		
Verify that each of th	e following documents is correct and current on the ISU Assessment Results Webpage by marking		Learning Outcomes - <i>Not</i>
with an "X." Please su	ibmit any updated documents and/or corrections as soon as possible to Kelley Woods-Johnson,	curre	ent
Assessment & Accredi	itation Coordinator at <u>kelley.woods-johnson@indstate.edu</u> .		Curriculum Map – <i>Broken</i>
		link	
			Assessment Plan - Missing
Is this program offere	d on-campus AND distance? If "Yes," reported data should include students of both, disaggregate	d	Yes X No Hybrid

Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Expand table cells as necessary to accommodate requested information.

Learning Outcome(s)		Assessment Strategies Us	ed		Actual Student	
Assessed Include actual outcome language; enter one per line, add lines as needed	Course	Assignment/Activity	Evaluation Tool i.e. rubric, exam key, preceptor evaluation, etc.	Established Benchmark for Proficiency	Performance Relative to Benchmark	Prior Results for Comparison (if applicable)
MSW Program - Competencie	s 1-10 relate to	CSWE Accreditation Requirer	ments under EPAS 20 EPAS	15, see https://www.cswe	e.org/Accreditation/Stand	lards-and-Policies/2015-
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	SOWK 605 SOWK 615	Generalist Practice Year 1. SOWK 605 Ethics Presentation 2. SOWK 605 Field Presentation 3. SOWK 605 Field Evaluation Clinical Practice Year 4. SOWK 615 Career Long Learning Paper 5. SOWK 615 Field	Rubric Rubric Field Instructor evaluation Rubric Field Instructor	80% of students will achieve an 80% or higher score on combined measures for each year.	100% 85%	99%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	SOWK 504 SOWK 605 SOWK 615 SOWK 620	Evaluation Generalist Practice Year 1. SOWK 504 Midterm Exam 2. SOWK 605 Field Presentation	Exam key Rubric	80% of students will achieve an 80% or higher score on combined measures for each year.	100%	100%



		3. SOWK 605 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		Clinical Practice Year				
		4. SOWK 615 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation		100%	99%
		5. SOWK 620	Rubric		10070	3370
		Culminating Project -				
		Engagement				
Competency 3: Advanced	SOWK 506	Generalist Practice Year		80% of students will	100%	100%
Human Rights and Social,	SOWK 605	1. SOWK 506 Macro	Rubric	achieve an 80% or higher		
Economic, and Environmental	SOWK 615	Project Proposal		score on combined		
Justice		2. SOWK 605 Field	Rubric	measures for each year.		
		Presentation				
		3. SOWK 605 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		Clinical Practice Year			4000/	22.50/
		4. SOWK 615 Field	Rubric		100%	99.5%
		Journal				
		5. SOWK 615 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
Competency 4: Engage in	SOWK 507	Generalist Practice Year		80% of students will	100%	100%
Practice-Informed Research and	SOWK 605	1. SOWK 507 Literature	Rubric	achieve an 80% or higher		
Research-Informed Practice	SOWK 606	Review		score on combined measures for each year.		
	SOWK 615	2. SOWK 605 Field	Rubric	ineasures for each year.		
		Presentation				
		3. SOWK 605 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		Clinical Practice Year	D. J. J.		100%	94.5%
		4. SOWK 606 Evidence	Rubric		100/0	J + .J/0
		Based Practice Paper	Field Instruct			
		5. SOWK 615 Field	Field Instructor			
Competency 5: Engage in Policy	COMIN EQ3	Evaluation Congrelist Practice Year	evaluation	80% of students will	070/	000/
Practice	SOWK 502 SOWK 605	Generalist Practice Year	Bubric	achieve an 80% or higher	87%	99%
Tructice	SOWK 605 SOWK 607	1. SOWK 502 Social	Rubric	score on combined		
	SOWK 607	Policy Paper 2. SOWK 605 Field	Rubric	measures for each year.		
	20 MV 012	Presentation	Nubile			
		3. SOWK 605 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		Evaluation	Cvalaation			



		Clinical Practice Year			83%	97.5%
		4. SOWK 607 Social	Rubric			
		Policy Analysis Paper				
		5. SOWK 615 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
Competency 6: Engage with So	SOWK 503	Generalist Practice Year		80% of students will	100%	100%
	SOWK 605	1. SOWK 503 Practice	Rubric	achieve an 80% or higher		
organizations, and communities. So	SOWK 603	Framework Simulation		score on combined		
S	SOWK 615	Engagement		measures for each year.		
		2. SOWK 605 Field	Rubric			
		Presentation				
		3. SOWK 605 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		Clinical Practice Year				
		4. SOWK 603	Rubric		90%	97%
		Psychotherapy				
		Module – Setting				
		Goals				
		5. SOWK 603	Rubric			
		Psychotherapy				
		Module – Intervene				
		6. SOWK 615 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
Competency 7: Assess S	SOWK 506	Generalist Practice Year		80% of students will	100%	99%
individuals, families, groups, So	SOWK 605	1. SOWK 503	Rubric	achieve an 80% or higher		
organizations, and communities. So	SOWK 615	Biopsychosocial		score on combined		
S	SOWK 620	Assessment		measures for each year.		
		2. SOWK 506 Needs	Rubric			
		Assessment				
		3. SOWK 605 Field	Rubric			
		Presentation				
		4. SOWK 605 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		Clinical Practice Year				
		5. SOWK 615 Field	Field Instructor		100%	96.5%
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		6. SOWK 620	Rubric			
				1	1	
		Culminating Project -				



Competency 8: Intervene with individuals, families, groups,	SOWK 503	Generalist Practice Year		80% of students will achieve an 80% or higher	85%	82%
organizations, and communities.	SOWK 605	1. SOWK 503	Rubric	score on combined		
organizations, and communices.	SOWK 615	Biopsychosocial –		measures for each year.		
		Spiritual Assessment		,		
		and Treatment Plan	Dulania			
		2. SOWK 605 Field Presentation	Rubric			
		3. SOWK 605 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		Clinical Practice Year	evaluation			
		4. SOWK 615 Clinical	Rubric		97%	96.5%
		Staffing Presentation –	Rubiic		3770	30.370
		Intervene				
		5. SOWK 615 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
Competency 9: Evaluate	SOWK 505		evaluation	80% of students will	1000/	02.250/
individuals, families, groups,	SOWK 505 SOWK 605	Generalist Practice Year 1. SOWK 505 Photo	Rubric	achieve an 80% or higher	100%	93.25%
organizations, and communities.	SOWK 605	Voice Community	Kubric	score on combined		
	SOWK 620	Theory Presentation		measures for each year.		
	30 VV K 020	2. SOWK 605 Field	Rubric			
		Presentation	Rubiic			
		3. SOWK 605 Field	Field Instructor			
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		Clinical Practice Year	evaluation			
		4. SOWK 615 Field	Field Instructor		99%	96.5%
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		5. SOWK 620	Rubric			
		Culminating Project -				
		Evaluation				
Competency 10: Practice	SOWK 501	Generalist Practice Year		80% of students will	100%	100%
effectively within a rural social	SOWK 605	1. SOWK 501 Interview	Rubric	achieve an 80% or higher		
work context.	SOWK 601	paper		score on combined		
	SOWK 615	2. SOWK 605 Field	Field Instructor	measures for each year.		
		Evaluation	evaluation			
		Clinical Practice Year			84%	83%
		3. SOWK 601 Rural Ethics	Rubric			
		Culturally and				
		Spiritually Sensitive				
		Assessment				
		Simulation				



		4. SOWK 601 Cultural	Rubric			
		Competency Paper 5. SOWK 615 Field Evaluation	Field Instructor evaluation			
BSW Program – Compet	encies 1-9 rela	te to CSWE Accreditation Re	•	• -	w.cswe.org/Accreditati	ion/Standards-and-
	T	T	Policies/2015-EPA			T
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	SOWK 494 SOWK 499	SOWK 499 Field Evaluation SOWK 494 Comprehensive Exam	Field Instructor evaluation Exam Key	80% of students will achieve an 80% or higher score on combined measures	100%	94.5%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	SOWK 498 SOWK 499	(values questions) 1. SOWK 499 Field Evaluation 2. SOWK 498 Diversity Presentation	Field Instructor evaluation Rubric	80% of students will achieve an 80% or higher score on combined measures	100%	94%
Competency 3: Advanced Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	SOWK 491 SOWK 499	 SOWK 499 Field Evaluation SOWK 491 Grant proposal 	Field Instructor evaluation Rubric	80% of students will achieve an 80% or higher score on combined measures	91.65%	93.5%
Competency 4: Engage in Practice-Informed Research and Research-Informed Practice	SOWK 490 SOWK 499	SOWK 499 Field Evaluation SOWK 490 General Intervention Model Paper	Field Instructor evaluation Rubric	75% of students will achieve an 75% or higher score on combined measures	90%	88.5%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	SOWK 494 SOWK 499	SOWK 499 Field Evaluation SOWK 494 Policy Paper	Field Instructor evaluation Rubric	75% of students will achieve an 75% or higher score on combined measures	100%	92.4%
Competency 6: Engage with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.	SOWK 493 SOWK 499	 SOWK 499 Field Evaluation SOWK 493 Group Simulation 	Field Instructor evaluation Rubric	80% of students will achieve an 80% or higher score on combined measures	100%	92.2%
Competency 7: Assess individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.	SOWK 490 SOWK 499	 SOWK 499 Field Evaluation SOWK 499 Planning Simulation 	Field Instructor evaluation Rubric	80% of students will achieve an 80% or higher score on combined measures	100%	89.3%
Competency 8: Intervene with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities.	SOWK 499	1. SOWK 499 Field Evaluation	Field Instructor evaluation Rubric	80% of students will achieve an 80% or higher	100%	92.4%



	2.	SOWK 499 Process		score on combined		
		Recording		measures		
SOWK 490 SOWK 499	1. 2.	SOWK 499 Field Evaluation SOWK 499 Planning Simulation	Field Instructor evaluation Rubric	75% of students will achieve an 75% or higher score on combined measures	100%	89.3%

Student Success Activities

Use the "Academic Chair" tab in <u>Blue Reports</u> to view your program's data related to retention, persistence, time to/rates of graduation, etc., as applicable (undergraduate v. graduate). Share reflections and activities of program faculty in the table below. Consider curricular, pedagogical, advising, co-curricular, and student support efforts.

Describe current student success activities that are working well.	The faculty host Student Success meetings to support students who are struggling
	academically (in the classroom) or professionally (in field internship). These success
	interventions help target individual areas of student challenge and facilitate student-
	centered intervention, thereby promoting retention.
Based on Blue Reports data and review of current activities, what	1. Cohort Sizes: MSW - 26; BSW -99
are the primary areas to focus on improving next year?	2. Year-to-Year Retention: First Time freshman Fall 2021 - 67.65%; First Time Transfer Fall 2021 - 60%
	3. 5-Year Graduation Rate – 34.72 (this number is lower than past years and could potentially reflect an error or pandemic-related changes)
	4. Average time to completion: Undergraduate 3.6 years; Graduate 2.0 years.
	The learning experiences for SOWK 130 Introduction to Social Welfare have
	been redesigned to better attract students to the profession; in particular,
	heavy assignment expectations have been eliminated and replaced with
	immersion activities that expose students to practice and service delivery in
	community agencies.
	In addition, the Department is actively engaged in aligning programs to meet
	the needs of first-generation students and students of color by increasing
	faculty diversity and by eliminating program requirements that hinder access to
	the program. For example, many students struggle with statistics requirement;
	in reviewing the syllabi for statistics options, faculty noted that content did not
	meet social work students' learning needs. The requirement is being eliminated
	and instead necessary statistics information will be incorporated into required
	research/program evaluation courses.



The Department is engaging in numerous internal and external recruitment
activities to strengthen enrollment in the BSW and MSW program. For example,
faculty met with high school students and incoming freshmen throughout the
summer, are hosting on campus and online visits with regional two-year
programs and 4-year programs without an MSW option and are promoting the
advance standing MSW program to BSW students. BSW student interest in the
advance standing program is the highest it has been since the pandemic.

If you don't have a Blue Reports account, you can request one using the webpage link, or your Department Chair, Associate Dean, or College Assessment Director can assist you.

Continuous Quality Improvement

Describe primary insights gained from analysis of findings. What was learned? What questions did it raise? How does current performance compare to past (if applicable), and how might any prior action plans have influenced performance?

BSW Program

Undergraduate student achievement of benchmarks improved across all competencies between 2020-2021 and 2021-2022. In particular, one curricular revision implemented in SOWK 490 was deemed a contributing factor to student gains in Competencies 6-9. The revision incorporated nine additional simulations into the student experience for a total of ten simulations. The curriculum was broken down by teaching each individual step of the General Intervention Model in social work, allowing for in class practice, then using a simulation to practice the learned skill in a realistic practice environment.

MSW Program

Although graduate student achievement in all benchmarks were met, declines from the previous year were noted in one area for both the generalist and clinical year: policy practice; and in two areas for the clinical year: ethical/professional behavior and client engagement. The declines are associated with in-class assignments rather than the field evaluation, and appear related to changes in instructor evaluation processes (i.e. measurement error). The MSW curriculum committee is assessing for other contributing factors. At the same time, graduate student benchmarks increased in three areas: assessment, research, and evaluation. Historically, research and evaluation have been areas of struggle, so the gains are celebrated. Improvements stem from stronger linkage of research methods to practice evaluation within the curriculum. The gains in assessment are linked to reorganizing the curriculum such that students complete SOWK 606 – Advanced Clinical Practice before beginning the clinical year internship rather that completing it conjointly.

What findings-based actions are planned to maintain strong performance and/or improve student learning and success?

BSW Program - Course specific changes

Given the positive outcomes associated with incorporating additional microsimulations in SOWK 490 *Generalist Practice II*, micro simulations will also be



incorporated in SOWK 480 Generalist Practice I. Faculty anticipate this will facilitate student preparation for the simulation experiences in SOWK 490, thus further bolstering student learning outcomes in both courses.

Although students have met Competency 1 *Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior* and exceeded last year's performance, course design and measurement outcomes for SOWK 494 will be redesigned for Spring 2023 to align more strongly with accreditation requirements. In particular, both EPAS 2015 and 2022 require assessment outcomes linked to four domains: knowledge, skills, cognitive processes, and affective processes. In its current form, SOWK 494 addresses primarily knowledge outcomes. As the "capstone" course for the BSW program, faculty believe the course and associated outcome measures would be strengthened by emphasizes all four domains. As such, students will complete assignments that apply knowledge to practice via case studies and case discussions rather than rote demonstration of knowledge via a comprehensive exam.

BSW Program - Assessment specific changes

To further strengthen assessment procedures, assignment-specific outcomes data will be reported to the BSW Director throughout the semester, rather than waiting to submit scores at the end of the semester. This will enable the BSW Director to be informed immediately when students have not met the benchmarks.

MSW Program - Course specific changes

Although not specifically reflected in the above assessment outcomes, SOWK 620 is being revised for Spring 2023 to reflect national data released by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) indicating ethnic disparity in licensing exam pass rates. Exam preparation materials and experiences are being incorporated into the course to help address this disparity for our MSW students.

MSW Program - Assessment specific changes

The MSW Program Committee is assessing what may have contributed to benchmark declines noted above and will be revising assessment strategies accordingly. For example, evaluation procedures may benefit from refinement to minimize measurement error associated with differences in instructor style.

What learning outcomes will your assessment plan focus on next year, and what changes, if any, are planned to improve assessment strategies and yield stronger data? Assessment will continue to focus on the competencies required for accreditation; revisions to accreditation standards via EPAS 2022 necessitate additional focus on anti-



	racism, diversity, equity, and inclusion. The Program Committees will be updating
	measures to capture outcomes in this area.
Describe faculty involvement in this assessment, and how will	Faculty teaching each course complete assessment measures, which are then compiled
findings be shared with faculty/stakeholders (as applicable)?	and interpreted by the Program Directors (BSW or MSW) and shared with the Program
	Committee. The Program Committee is comprised of all faculty teaching in the
	program; they determine findings-based actions and assessment improvement
	strategies in consultation with the Chair. The overall findings are discussed during
	Department meetings with all faculty and are shared online with stakeholders as
	required for Accreditation. In addition, findings are discussed with Field Instructors and
	Advisory Council members during team meetings.





Student Outcomes Assessment & Success Report Evaluation AY 21-22

Program: BSW Social Work Evaluation: Exemplary

The purpose of SOAS Report evaluation is to promote high quality academic program assessment that results in relevant, useful, and accurate data about student learning outcome achievement that faculty can use in planning for and monitoring efforts toward continuous improvement. Faculty are encouraged to incorporate feedback they find useful into assessment practices, and resources are available to support assessment development.

Evaluation Key: Exemplary=Meets all standards, exceeds some; Mature=Meets all/most standards, no serious concerns; Developing=Meets some standards, multiple recommendations for improvement: Undeveloped=Meets few/no standards, serious concerns noted: Cannot Evaluate=Missing information prevents evaluation

Component of	Areas of Exemplary Practice	Standards of Practice	Recommendations for	Evaluation
Practice		Highlighted practices were clear in the SOASR	Improvement	Relative to
			(serious concerns highlighted)	Standards
Learning	LO language is directly aligned with	At least one outcome is assessed this cycle	Some of the competencies are	Exemplary
Outcomes	CSWE accreditation requirements –		very broad – presumably there are	
Strong learning	language is linked	Outcome(s) is specific as to what students will be able to	more granular	
outcomes use		know/do as a result of their learning	outcomes/objectives the	
language that			assessment is based upon? No	
focuses on what		Outcome(s) is measurable	need to add these, but maybe	
students will achieve			make note, as the combined	
and can be measured		Outcome(s) is consistent across modes of delivery (if	measures approach does not help	
to demonstrate		applicable)	infer this.	
achievement.	Van strang and an area at	,		Evenenter:
Assessment	Very strong assessment approach	Assessment measure(s) is designed for precise alignment		Exemplary
Strategies	samples from multiple displays of	to designated outcome(s)		
Strong assessment	student performance in various			
strategies are	points of the curriculum. Major	Overall assessment strategy relies primarily on direct		
designed to produce data of high enough	assignments are across outcomes,	assessment measure(s)		
quality to be useful	clinical feedback is provided, and			
to faculty trying to	relevant, rich displays of learning	Indirect assessment measure(s) is included to provide		
understanding	are utilized.	supplemental perspectives		
student learning				
outcome		Assessment data comes from multiple sources, either		
achievement,		within a significant course or across the curriculum		
uncover potential				
issues, and		Assessment measures include rich and/or relevant displays		
determine next steps		of student learning (i.e. experiential learning, intensive		
to support continuous		writing, problem-based learning, licensure exams, etc.)		
improvement. They				
do not rise to the		Tools for evaluating student achievement are clearly		
rigor of research		described when necessary (i.e. rubrics, exam alignment		
methods, though		key, preceptor evaluation, etc.)		
they may draw on				
some related tenants				
and strategies.				

Results & The threshold for proficiency for each outcome is clearly I loved the note in the Student Success Analysis includes thoughtful Exemplary section about how faculty adapted reflection on student performance stated relative to the measure/evaluation tool used **Analysis** curriculum in regards to statistics to trends and observable impacts Clear depiction of ensure disciplinary appropriateness The threshold for proficiency reflects reasonably high from curricular changes intended to results and strong while removing unnecessary barriers analysis pairs with improve student application of the expectations for the program for students. Although it isn't directly strong assessment General Intervention Model. LO assessment, it would be really strategies to allow Actual student performance data on assessment measures interesting to see data in any future faculty to determine is shared relative to the stated threshold for proficiency reports comparing student data prior appropriate and (when applicable) the evaluation tool used to/after this change to see how this interpretation of change influenced the intended goal. data and use of Thoughtful discussion of faculty insights gained from findings. Use of It seems evident from the variations in findings is included student achievement the data that rubrics for assignments data rather than used to evaluate student mastery of anecdotes, When appropriate, student performance data is multiple competencies are likely comparison to disaggregated by group, without identifying any specific analytical, isolating each individual thresholds of student (ex: on-campus & distance cohorts in a program outcome for precise assessment. If I proficiency, and offering both forms of delivery) am incorrect in this assumption, it thoughtful use of would be helpful to do so to produce disaggregation to When applicable, missing data or significant limitations to stronger data. No need to reference uncover potential this in future reports – just something how data may be interpreted or applied are described group differences I wanted to note. that might exist are all good practices. Clear continuous improvement focus as Multiple program faculty are involved in the assessment Great note about why the **Continuous** Exemplary evidenced by thoughtful analysis process comprehensive exam will be **Improvement** student performance, as well as shifted to a case study set to Assessment is about continued review of curriculum based Plans for maintaining strong performance and/or reflect shifting EPAS requirements sharing and use of on accreditation standards and results to celebrate improving student learning are clearly driven by and provide students richer professional trends. Excellent proposed strong performance assessment findings opportunities to display learning. strategy to allow for continuous and improve in It would be interesting to see the monitoring of student performance by intentional ways. Plans for maintaining strong performance and/or differences of these approaches in changing the timeline of when Assessment for improving student learning are within reasonable purview assessment findings are provided to the future assessments. continuous Director. of program faculty improvement includes engaging Strong use of positive indicators of If data from prior assessments is provided, reflection on multiple faculty in curricular/pedagogical change changes over time and the possible impact any prior assessment, influencing student learning to add interventions is discussed comparing prior simulation experiences elsewhere in results to current the curriculum to strengthen student results to examine A commitment to ongoing assessment is demonstrated in learning. our interventions, clear plans for upcoming assessment using findings to plan for the future, and Assessment findings are shared with program faculty and Assessment clearly is a shared activity, sharing what we informs practice, and is shared with a any applicable stakeholders have learned. broad audience of stakeholders.

Contact Kelley Woods-Johnson at kelley.woods-johnson@indstate.edu or x7975 with questions or for support.