Consult with your college dean's office regarding due date and how to submit. Deans will submit reports to the Office of Assessment & Accreditation annually by October 15.

Unit/Program Name: Undergraduate Coordinated Program in Dietetics Contact Name(s) and Email(s) Cassondra Strawser, cassondra.strawser@indstate.edu

Part 1a: Summary of Student Learning Outcomes Assessment

NOTE: If data from Spring 2020 is missing due to COVID-19 transition issues, please describe these issues, their impact on your ability to assess student learning, and what, if anything, will change as a result.

a. What learning outcomes	b. (1) What assignments or	c. What were your	d. What were the actual	e. What changes or
did you assess this past year?	activities did you use to	expectations for student	data/results?	improvements were made or
	determine how well your	performance?		will be made in response to
If this is a graduate program,	students attained the			these assessment results or
identify the Graduate Student	outcome? (2) In what course			feedback from previous
Learning Outcome each	or other required experience			year's report? Can expand on
outcome aligns with.	did the assessment occur?			this in Part 2.
1. Students will be able to	AHS 435	90% of the students will	100% (6/6)	Students exceeded
develop and demonstrate	To monitor and evaluate	"meet" or "exceed" the		assessment benchmarks for
effective communication skills	patients' signs, symptoms,	expectation on Clinical		all assignments. We will plan
using oral, print, visual, and	and the impact of	Evaluation Rubric.		to keep the existing
electronic methods with	interventions.			assignments and associated
patient/clients, internal and				expectations.
external stakeholders, and	AHS 422			
other health professionals	To develop nutrition	90% of the students will earn	100% (9/9)	We modified an assignment
	education materials in verbal	an 80% or better on nutrition		that was in the 2018-2019
	and written formats.	education project.		assessment for this particular
				learning outcome: a project
				for AHS 422 that focused on
	To present nutrition	90% of the students will earn	100% (9/9)	student development of a
	education to various target	an 80% or better on nutrition		staff in-service and in-person
	audiences.	education rubric.		education. This was
				completed virtually due to
				COVID.
	To develop nutrition	90% of the students will earn	100% (9/9)	
	education materials and	an 80% or better on virtual in-		Additionally, AHS 435, 422,
	present staff in-services.	service presentation.		and 431 are supervised
				practice rotations for our
				programs and are offered in
				both clinical and community
				settings. These rotations

	AHS 431 To write, update, and review policies and procedures in food service management.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" the expectation on the FSM rubric	100% (6/6)	moved fully online for the last 6 weeks of the spring 2020 semester. Students completed mandated hours remotely.
	To plan, implement, and evaluate an employee inservice.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" the expectation on the FSM rubric	100% (6/6)	
	AHS 425 To write a business format letter to the legislator about a current food and nutrition topic.	90% of the students will earn 80% of the possible points or better on the letter assignment.	100% (9/9)	
	AHS 322 To utilize materials, resources and media when completing and presenting lesson plan. To use motivational counseling techniques while completing counseling project.	85% of the students will earn 80% of the possible points or better on the project.	100% (9/9)	
2. Students will be able to assess the nutritional status of individuals, groups and populations of differing life stages and health status using	AHS 424 To monitor and evaluate signs, symptoms, and the impact of interventions.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" expectations on the Clinical Evaluation Rubric.	100% (6/6)	Students exceeded assessment benchmarks for all assignments. We will plan to keep the existing assignments and associated
Nutrition Care Process	To write accurate PES statements.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" the expectations on the Clinical Evaluation Rubric.	100% (6/6)	expectations.

	AHS 431 To assist/supervise preparation of menus.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" the expectation on the FSM rubric	100% (6/6)	
	To use computers and other communication systems at supervised practice site.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" the expectation on the FSM rubric	100% (6/6)	
3. Students will be able to integrate skills (including using appropriate tools) as entry-level registered dietitians	AHS 435 To monitor and evaluate signs, symptoms, and the impact of interventions.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" the expectation on the clinical evaluation rubric	100% (6/6)	Students exceeded assessment benchmarks for all assignments. We will plan to keep the existing assignments and associated
	AHS 422 To conduct diet assessments and client focused education.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" the expectation on the community rubric	100% (9/9)	expectations.
	AHS 431 To assist/supervise preparation of menus.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" the expectation on the FSM rubric	100% (6/6)	
	To use computers and other communication systems at supervised practice site.	90% of the students will "meet" or "exceed" the expectation on the FSM rubric	100% (6/6)	
	AHS 322 To identify and apply nutrition counseling theories and methods portion of counseling project.	90% of the students will earn 80% of the possible points or better on counseling project.	100% (9/9)	

Note: If you would like to report on more than three outcomes, place the cursor in the last cell on the right and hit "tab" to add a new row.

Helpful Hints for Completing this Table

a. Use your outcomes library as a reference. Note any alignment with professional standards, as applicable.

- b. Each outcome should be assessed by at least one direct measure (project, practica, exam, performance, etc.). If students are required to pass an examination to practice in the field, this exam should be included as one of the measures. At least one of the program's outcomes must use an indirect measure (exit interview, focus group, survey, etc.). Use your curriculum map to correlate outcomes to courses. Describe or attach any evaluation tools such as rubrics, scales, etc.
- c. Identify the score or rating required to demonstrate proficiency (e.g., Students must attain a score of "3" to be deemed proficient; at least 80% of students in the program will attain this benchmark.)
- d. Note what the aggregate level of proficiency actually was and the number of students included in the cohort or sample (e.g., 85% of the 25 students whose portfolios were reviewed met the established benchmark).

Part 1b: Review of Student Success Data & Activities

Use <u>Blue Reports</u> to generate the following information (as well as any other information helpful to you). A dashboard has been created in the Chairs view:

1) Cohort Sizes:

Dietetics (Undergraduate Coordinated Program): 10 (90%)
Pre-Dietetics (4 + 1 Accelerated Dietetics Program, Beginning Fall 2020): 2
Nutrition and Wellness (Beginning Fall 2020): 6

2) Year-to-Year Retention*:

Dietetics (Undergraduate Coordinated Program): 90%

*Note: At the end of the spring 2020 semester, one undergraduate student out of the cohort of 17 students (9 undergraduate, 8 graduate) dropped out of the program. Our cohorts are a combination of undergraduate and graduate students, with a maximum of 16 accepted annually.

3) 5-Year Graduation Rate (undergraduate):
Dietetics (Undergraduate Coordinated Program): 6 (50%)

What worked well in supporting student success this year?

- Flexibility! I accepted a new role as Program Director at the beginning of 2020. This resulted in last-minute changes to the course schedule combined with the challenges of moving face-to-face courses and rotations online due to COVID. Examples of increased flexibility provided to students this past year to support their success include:
 - The provision of a variety of alternative experiences (for example; case studies, virtual presentations, creation of YouTube videos, reflective practice, and a fully online internship with a public health department) that students could choose from to fulfill their supervised practice hour requirements for the remainder of the spring 2020 and summer 2020 rotations. Supervised practice hours for the summer 2020 rotation were completely online to allow students the opportunity to remain safe and to work from home.
 - Extended deadlines for the majority of projects/assignments.
 - o The annual required dairy farm tour with the Dairy Association was offered virtually for students based on their feedback.

What are the most significant opportunities for improvement upon which to focus in the coming year?

We have had to make substantial changes to our courses and supervised practice rotations, in terms of who is teaching courses and
overseeing rotations, due to the change in leadership within our program and department-wide faculty reductions. While this poses a

logistical challenge in terms of division of workload and resources, it is also an opportunity for our program to reevaluate our student learning outcomes and long-term goals (within the accreditation mandates) from a fresh perspective.

- Changes to teaching modalities as a direct result of the pandemic have offered us the opportunity to "test" new ways of teaching traditional face-to-face courses. Our program has historically been face-to-face, but we are considering offering more courses online (particularly our graduate courses). The flipped classroom model could potentially work well for some of our upper-level major courses and will be considered a viable option next year.
- Again, pertaining to the pandemic: we realize how difficult it is to collect assignments and documentation in the "traditional" way (i.e. paper) and are considering adopting more paper-less strategies: particularly with the use of portfolios and with the application process to the program.

Part 1c: Summary of Career Readiness Activities – required for undergraduate programs; optional for graduate programs

N/A – Submitted last year

Part 2: Continuous Quality Improvement

We are in the process of phasing out our current coordinated program model and as of fall 2020, we have officially started our Accelerated Dietetics (4+1) program as well as a BS in Nutrition and Wellness degree program. To ensure a smooth transition with programmatic changes and to facilitate student success, we will aim for the following:

Provide consistent, clear communication with current and potential students regarding the curriculum; expectations of student performance (in and outside of the classroom); RDN examination preparation; and employer expectations of entry-level RDN's.

Consider alternative assignments related to the assessment of student learning outcomes. Due to recent changes in leadership and faculty, our program is in a unique position to reevaluate assessment strategies and benchmarks of success.

We will continue to form mutually beneficial community partnerships with organizations in the Terre Haute area and surrounding communities to promote nutrition education and health promotion. These relationships also provide unique learning opportunities for our students in supervised practice settings.

Stakeholder input is key this coming academic year with the curricular changes. Due to the circumstances surrounding the pandemic, we did not attempt to hold our annual stakeholders meeting. We will do this in either summer 2021 or the fall 2021 semester. We will also set aside time for a more in-depth discussion pertaining to implementation and assessment of the 4+1 and BS in Nutrition and Wellness programs with faculty in our department at the beginning of the spring 2021 semester.

Thank you so much for sharing your assessment process and findings for AY 2019-20 with the Assessment Council. You will find feedback and ratings on the rubric below. It is understood that some of the feedback might encompass practices that you already engage in but were not documented in this report. As the purpose of this evaluation is focused on recognizing great work and helping faculty improve assessment practice, it is not necessary to retroactively add documentation. Please feel free to let me know if you have any questions or if there is any way I can assist you in further developing assessment practice and use in your program.

This report will be shared with the Associate Dean(s) and Dean of your college and summarized findings will be shared as composite college/institutional data with the President's Office and the Provost's team.

Sincerely,

Kelley (x7975)

Program: BS Coordinated Dietetics	Overall Rating: Mature (2.94/3.00)	
Strengths	Recommendations	
 Learning outcomes are clear, specific, and measureable. Data is taken from multiple measures throughout the curriculum to provide broad-based evidence of student learning achievement through a variety of demonstrations. Measures include hands-on and problem-based learning that reflects actual professional practices in which students will engage. Expectations of student performance are clear and appropriate. It is clearly noted in many cases that student work is evaluated using rubrics. Actual results are reported clearly for each measure. Good notes are provided about assignment, assessment, communication, and curricular modifications due to COVID-19 and their impact on the overall plan. Good information is provided about upcoming program changes and the action plans for supporting these changes while maintaining high standards for student learning and career preparation. Clear plans are provided for eventual sharing of results despite the pandemic's effect on communication. 	 In many cases it is noted that a rubric is used for evaluation, and I assume these project-based rubrics are broken out by dimensions that align with the outcomes for assessment by the way they are described. Is it safe to assume that many other assignments without information are also evaluated this way, or were other tools used (checklists, performance evaluations, etc). Since rubrics are used, consider reporting the data by score on the rubric. This seems less impactful since your students are scoring so high and consistently meeting the expectations, but it can help you see if students are consistently exceeding these expectations in large numbers – it may be a conversation starter for how to keep engaging these students at high and rigorous levels. Feel free to reach out if you would like any support or 3rd party review of any assessment plans or items you develop for the upcoming change in curriculum. 	

Student Outcomes Assessment & Success Report Rubric Office of Assessment & Accreditation, Indiana State University

Evaluation	3	2	1	0
Criteria	Exemplary	_ Mature	 Developing	Undeveloped
Student	Identified, aligned learning	Identified, aligned learning	Learning outcomes are identified	No <i>(program)</i> learning outcomes
Learning	outcomes are specific,	outcomes are specific,	and alignment with courses is	are identified, and/or alignment
Outcomes	measurable, student-centered,	measurable, student-centered,	demonstrated.	of learning outcomes to courses
	and program-level. Outcomes	and program-level. Outcomes		is not demonstrated (e.g. –
	directly integrate institution or	support institution or college-	Outcomes are consistent across	curriculum map).
	college-level learning goals.	level learning goals.	modes of delivery (if applicable).	
	Outcomes are consistent across	Outcomes are consistent across	At least one outcomes is	
	modes of delivery (if applicable).	modes of delivery (if applicable).	assessed this cycle.	
		A. I		
	More than one outcome is	At least one outcome is assessed		
	assessed this cycle, and rationale	this cycle, and rationale is		
	is provided for why they were	provided for why it was selected		
D. (selected for assessment.	for assessment.	Desferons and a second second	No contract dest
Performance	Performance goals are clear and	Performance goals are clear and	Performance goals are identified	No goals for student
Goals &	appropriate, and rationale is	appropriate.	with little rationale or clarity.	performance of learning
Measures	provided for why these were		I de la Companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell	outcomes are identified, and/or
	selected.	Identified measures and tools are	Identified measures are poorly	no measures are provided.
	Identified measures and tools are	assigned to each outcome, are	suited to performance goals,	
	assigned to each outcome, are	clear and intentionally designed	underdeveloped, or are solely indirect measures.	
	clear and intentionally designed	to address student performance on aligned outcomes, and	muliect measures.	
	to address student performance	examples are provided (e.g. –		
	on aligned outcomes, and	rubrics, checklists, exam keys).		
	rationale and examples are	At least one direct measure is		
	provided (e.g. – rubrics,	included.		
	checklists, exam keys). Most are	meladea.		
	direct measures, and their design			
	enhances the validity of findings.			
	Licensure exams and high-impact			
	practices are reflected in			
	measures (if applicable).			

Unit/Program: BS Dietetics

Evaluation Date: Fall 2020

Analysis &	Data collection process is clear	Data collection process is clear	Description of data collection is	No information is provided
Results	and designed to produce valid/trustworthy results. The	and designed to produce valid/trustworthy results.	unclear as to process and quality.	about the data collection process, and/or no data is being
	process is useful to those	validy trastworthly results.	Some data is collected and	collected.
	collecting and/or interpreting	Data is collected and analyzed	analyzed with little rationale or	
	data.	with clear rationale and	description. (in limited cases —	No results are provided
		description.	see recommendations)	
	Data is collected and analyzed			
	with clear rationale and	Results are provided with some	Some results are provided with	
	description.	discussion of analysis.	no discussion of analysis.	
	Results are provided with			
	thoughtful discussion of analysis			
	and description of conclusions			
	that can be drawn.			
Sharing & Use	A plan for sharing information	A plan for sharing information	Information is provided about	No information is provided about
of Results for	and included program faculty	broadly across program faculty is	sharing results, but sharing is	sharing results and/or plans for
Continuous	and appropriate staff in	detailed and enacted.	limited in scope or content.	improvement or change based
Improvement	discussion and planning is detailed and enacted. Outcomes	Plans for improvement or change	Plans for improvement or change	on results.
	and results are easily accessible	based on results are clear and	based on results are incomplete,	No evidence of reflection on
	on the program website or other	connected to results. If few	vague, or not clearly connected	results in provided.
	appropriate designated area.	students met performance goals,	to results.	
		this is included in discussion and		
	Plans for improvement or change	plans.	Little reflection is offered about	
	based on results are clear and		results or plans moving forward.	
	connected to results. If few	Reflection is offered about		
	students met performance goals,	results or plans moving forward.		
	this is included in discussion and			
	plans.			
	Reflection if offered about			
	results or plans moving forward,			
	and compares prior year plans to			
	current outcomes in an effort to			
	foster continuous improvement			
	as a result of assessment			
Overall Betire	process.	- Moture	- Davoloning	□ Undeveloped
Overall Rating	□ Exemplary	□ Mature Plagse see reviewer notes for	□ Developing	☐ Undeveloped