

**Student Learning Summary Form AY2017-18**

**Due to your dean by June 1**

**Due from dean to assessment office by June 15**

**Degree Program Name:** Doctor of Physical Therapy **Contact Name and Email** Howell.tapley@indstate.edu

Before you complete the form below, review your outcomes library and curriculum map to ensure that they are accurate and up to date. If not, you may submit a new version along with this summary.

**Part One**

<p><b>a. What learning outcomes did you assess this year?</b></p> <p>If this is a graduate program, indicate the <a href="#">Graduate Student Learning Outcome*</a> each outcome aligns with.</p>	<p><b>b. (1) What method(s) did you use to determine how well your students attained the outcome? (2) In what course or other required experience did the assessment occur?</b></p>	<p><b>c. What expectations did you establish for achievement of the outcome?</b></p>	<p><b>d. What were the actual results?</b></p>	<p><b>e. (1) Who was responsible for collecting and analyzing the results? (2) How were they shared with the program's faculty?</b></p>
<p>1. Promote health and quality of life by applying concepts and strategies of screens for health, fitness and wellness</p>	<p>(1) Classroom Assignment/Service Learning Project (2) AHS 720</p>	<p>Average score on post-screening reflection assignment 90%</p>	<p>96%</p>	<p>(1) Course Instructor (2) Annual Assessment Retreat</p>
<p>2. Apply best current available evidence for common physical therapy interventions for patients with musculoskeletal diagnoses</p>	<p>(1) Clinical Education Evaluation CPI #12 (Evidence-Based Plan of Care criteria) (2) PHTH 885-III</p>	<p>80% of students will achieve "entry-level"</p>	<p>94%</p>	<p>(1) Course Instructor (2) Annual Assessment Retreat</p>
<p>3. Demonstrate a commitment to lifelong learning by effectively educating others in current best practices</p>	<p>(1) Clinical Education Evaluation CPI #14 (Educational Interventions Criteria) (2) PHTH 885-III</p>	<p>90% of students will reach "entry-level"</p>	<p>94%</p>	<p>(1) Course Instructor (2) Annual Assessment Retreat</p>
<p>4. Demonstrate a commitment to the healthcare needs of rural and underserved populations by providing effective pro-bono physical therapy services to the public</p>	<p>(1) Participation in pro-bono services/service learning project (2) PHTH 823</p>	<p>Average score on service learning project scoring rubric 90%</p>	<p>86%</p>	<p>(1) Course Instructor (2) Annual Assessment Retreat</p>

\* See <https://www2.indstate.edu/graduate/forms/review.pdf>.

*If you would like to report on more than three outcomes, place the cursor in the last cell on the right and hit “tab” to add a new row.*

#### Notes

- a. Use your outcomes library as a reference.
- b. Each outcome must be assessed by at least one direct measure (project, practica, exam, performance, etc.). If students are required to pass an examination to practice in the field, this exam must be included as one of the measures. At least one of the outcomes must use an indirect measure (exit interview, focus group, survey, etc.). Use your curriculum map to correlate outcomes to courses.
- c. Identify the score or rating required to demonstrate proficiency (e.g., Students must attain a score of “3” to be deemed proficient; at least 80% of students in the program will attain this benchmark.”
- d. Note what the aggregate level of proficiency actually was and the number of students included in the cohort or sample (e.g., “85% of the 25 students whose portfolios were reviewed met the established benchmark).
- e. This may be a specific individual, a position (e.g., assessment coordinator), or a group such as the department assessment committee. Minutes should reflect that results are shared with members of the department at least annually.

#### Part Two

**In no more than one page, summarize 1) the discoveries assessment has enabled you to make about your students’ learning, the curriculum, departmental processes, and/or the assessment plan itself; 2) the changes and improvements you have made or will make in response to these discoveries and/or the coordinator’s feedback on the previous summary; and 3) what your assessment plan will focus on in the coming year.**

*If you would like to reference any supporting materials (departmental meeting minutes, detailed assessment results, etc.), please provide the URL at which they can be found.*

- 1) Students performed well on clinical duties in the assessed areas(evidence-based practice and instruction of patients). Goals were met as expected. Students also performed well with reflections on a service learning project in health promotion indicating a positive learning experience. Students struggled with written report of another service learning project in the area of rural/underserved populations. Students struggled with administration of a public health planning model which is foreign to most allied health professionals.
- 2) Currently we are beginning the health promotion service learning project again this year and the instructor has been more proactive in monitoring student progress, specifically with adherence to the planning model. Efforts were made to assure community partner participation and the importance of getting off to a good start. We anticipate better performance on the written assignment and overall results at the end of the semester.
- 3) Now that the DPT program is up and running with 3 full cohorts, we will implement the entire assessment plan in the 2018-19 academic year, including measurement of all 7 items: 1) Promote health and quality of life, 2) Develop wellness programs 3) Apply best evidence in PT musculoskeletal practice 4)Understanding of continuing education requirements for professionals 5) Educate others in best practice in PT 6) Understand healthcare needs of rural/underserved populations 7) Provide pro bono services to rural/underserved populations (see master assessment plan)

Dear Howell,

Thank you so much for sharing your assessment process and findings for AY 2017-18 with the Assessment and Student Success Councils. You will find a comprehensive synthesis of the feedback compiled by both groups below. It is understood that some of the feedback might encompass practices that you already engage in but that are not documented in this report. As the purpose of this evaluation is focused on recognizing great work and helping faculty improve assessment practice, it is not necessary to retroactively add documentation. Please feel free to let me know if you have any questions or if there is any way I can assist you in further developing assessment in your program.

This report will be shared with the Associate Dean(s) and Dean of your college and summarized findings will be shared as composite college/institutional data with the President's Office and the Provost's team.

Sincerely,

Kelley (x7975)

<b>Program: Doctor of Physical Therapy</b>	
<b>Assessment Practice Overall Rating:</b> Mature (2.06/3.00)	
<b>Student Success Practice Overall Rating:</b> No information provided; Current reporting form was not used.	
<b>Strengths</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Learning outcomes are generally clear and specific.</li><li>• Clear information provided about the courses that will be used for assessment. Some information provided about the assignments/projects used for assessment.</li><li>• Clear information is provided about expected and actual student performance.</li><li>• Clear information is provided about sharing and use of assessment results among faculty.</li><li>• Some information is provided about improving student performance in writing.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The focus of learning outcomes can be clearer for assessment purposes just through a little rewording. Start with what you want students to be able to show or do, and it makes it more clear what you want to assess. Ex: Outcome 4 can read "Students will provide effective pro-bono physical therapy services to the public in demonstration of a commitment to the healthcare needs of rural and underserved populations." It might sound like splitting hairs, and it's not something you have to do, but it can help ensure that you are measuring "providing effective services," rather than a perception of "commitment."</li><li>• Make a note of how the projects/assignments used for assessment will be evaluated to make it clear how you came to the score students received (ex: rubrics, checklists, etc.). This will also help me give better feedback about tailoring evaluative tools to ensure the most accurate results for use in decision making.</li></ul>

*Assessment (Parts 1a & 1b) Scoring Rubric is included below. Student Success (Parts 2a & 2b) Scoring Rubric is included on the last page for reference only. Score was calculated on a 0 (undeveloped), 1 (developing), 2 (mature), 3 (exemplary) scale.*

Evaluation Criteria	Exemplary	Mature	Developing	Undeveloped
<p><b>Student Learning Outcomes</b></p>	<p>At least one learning outcome that is aligned with program coursework is assessed this cycle.</p> <p>Learning outcome(s) is specific, measurable, and student-centered.</p> <p>Rationale for assessment of this outcome(s) is made clear (ex: it is part of a standing assessment cycle, a need was identified, etc.)</p> <p>Learning outcome(s) directly link to college, institutional, and/or accreditor goals/standards.</p>	<p>At least one learning outcome that is aligned with program coursework is assessed this cycle.</p> <p>Learning outcome(s) is specific, measurable, and student-centered.</p> <p>Rationale for assessment of this outcome(s) is made clear (ex: it is part of a standing assessment cycle, a need was identified, etc.)</p>	<p>At least one learning outcome that is aligned with program coursework is assessed this cycle.</p> <p>Learning outcomes(s) is measurable.</p>	<p>No learning outcomes are identified for assessment or the outcomes that are identified are not linked to program outcomes aligned with program coursework (e.g. – curriculum map) or are not measurable.</p>
<p><b>Performance Goals &amp; Measures</b></p>	<p>Performance goal identified for each learning outcome is clear and reasonable (ex: based on previous performance data, professional standards, etc.).</p> <p>Identified measures are designed to accurately reflect student learning, including at least one direct measure.</p> <p>Tools used to measure student performance are described and were reviewed for validity or trustworthiness prior to use (note this in the report; attach tools if applicable – ex: rubrics, checklists, exam keys, etc.).</p>	<p>Performance goal identified for each learning outcome is clear and reasonable (ex: based on previous performance data, professional standards, etc.).</p> <p>Identified measures are designed to accurately reflect student learning, including at least one direct measure.</p> <p>Tools or processes for evaluating student performance on measures are described (attach tools if applicable – ex: rubrics, checklists, exam keys, etc.).</p>	<p>Performance goal(s) is identified for each learning outcome.</p> <p>Identified measures (ex: assignments, projects, tests, etc.) are poorly suited to performance goals or are solely indirect measures.</p> <p>Tools or processes for evaluating student performance on measures are not described.</p>	<p>No goals for student performance of learning outcomes is identified, and/or no measures are provided.</p>

<b>Analysis &amp; Results</b>	<p>Data is collected using the measures and tools identified.</p> <p>Results are reported with clear description of quality analysis (e.g., analysis follows accepted statistical or qualitative procedures).</p> <p>Results are shared in relation to performance goals.</p> <p>Results are discussed in relation to college, institutional, and/or accreditor goals/standards.</p>	<p>Data is collected using the measures and tools identified.</p> <p>Results are reported with clear description of analysis (e.g., analysis follows accepted statistical or qualitative procedures).</p> <p>Results are shared in relation to performance goals.</p>	<p>Data is collected using the measures and tools identified.</p> <p>Results are reported with little description of analysis.</p>	<p>No data is being collected.</p> <p>No results are provided.</p>
<b>Sharing &amp; Use of Results for Continuous Improvement</b>	<p>Clear information is provided about sharing and using results to inform practice.</p> <p>Discussion of what was learned from results is provided and connected to plans for sharing and using results to inform practice.</p> <p>A plan for adjusting performance, goals, assessment, and/or program components based on results is outlined.</p>	<p>Clear information is provided about sharing and using results to inform practice.</p> <p>Discussion of what was learned from results is provided and connected to plans for sharing and using results to inform practice.</p>	<p>Limited information is provided about sharing or using results to inform practice.</p> <p>Some discussion of what was learned from results is provided.</p>	<p>No information is provided about sharing or using results to inform practice.</p> <p>No evidence of reflection on results is provided (ex: discussion, conclusions drawn)</p>
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mature	<input type="checkbox"/> Developing	<input type="checkbox"/> Undeveloped

**Student Success Activities Report Rubric (Part 2 of Student Outcomes Assessment Report)Unit/Program:**

**Office of Student Success/Office of Assessment & Accreditation Evaluation Date:**

<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>0 Undeveloped</b>	<b>1 Developing</b>	<b>2 Mature</b>	<b>3 Exemplary</b>
<b>Goals/ Objectives</b>	No goals/objectives are identified.	Goals/objectives are poorly suited to addressing student performance, retention, persistence, and/or completion.  Goals/objectives may also be modest at best such that little effort is required.	Goals/objectives are generally clear and reasonably well suited to addressing student performance, retention, persistence, and/or completion.  Goals/objectives are also generally at least moderately aggressive such that appropriate effort is required.	Goals/objectives are all clear and well suited to addressing student performance, retention, persistence, and/or completion.  Goals/objectives are also at least moderately aggressive in all cases such that appropriate effort is required.
<b>Action Steps</b>	No action steps are identified.	Action steps are weak, underdeveloped, and/or poorly suited to making progress on goals/objectives.  No person(s) or group(s) indicated who will be responsible for the actions.	Action steps are generally clear and reasonably well suited to making progress on goals/objectives.  Person(s) or group(s) responsible for the actions are indicated in most cases.	Action steps are all clear and well suited to making progress on goals/objectives  Person(s) or group(s) responsible for each action are indicated, ideally with a timeline.
<b>Data that Informs Progress on Each Goal/Objective</b>	No data, quantitative or qualitative, is identified.	Data to inform progress are poorly suited to measure progress on goals/objectives.	Data to inform progress are generally well suited to measure progress on goals/objectives.	Data to inform progress are all well suited to measure progress on goals/objectives.
<b>Assessment of Outcomes and Continuous Improvement</b>	For goals/objectives in place the prior year, no reflection provided on achievements/challenges, sharing results, and/or plans for improvement or change based on results.  No reflection on outcome assessment plan for continuous improvement provided for new goals/objectives.	For goals/objectives in place the prior year, modest at best reflection provided (and/or is vague or of questionable connection to results) on achievements/challenges, sharing results, and/or plans for improvement or change based on results.  Modest at best reflection on assessment plan for continuous improvement provided for new goals/objectives.	For goals/objectives in place the prior year, generally appropriate reflection provided (and is reasonably well connected to results) on achievements/challenges, sharing results, and/or plans for improvement or change based on results.  Reasonable reflection on assessment plan for continuous improvement provided for new goals/objectives.	For goals/objectives in place the prior year, strong reflection is provided in all cases (and is well connected to results) on achievements/challenges, sharing results, and/or plans for improvement or change based on results.  Well-developed reflection on assessment plan for continuous improvement provided for new goals/objectives.
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Undeveloped</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Developing</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Mature</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Exemplary</b>