Due to your dean by June 1
Due from dean to assessment office by June 15

Degree Program Name: ___ Baccalaureate Nursing Completion – RN to BS_ **Contact Name and Email: Jessica Nelson@indstate.edu**

Before you complete the form below, review your outcomes library and curriculum map to ensure that they are accurate and up to date. If not, you may submit a new version along with this summary.

Part One Fall 2014 - Spring 2015

a. What learning outcomes	b. (1) What method(s)s did	c. What expectations did you	d. What were the actual	e. (1) Who was responsible
did you assess this year?	you use to determine how	establish for achievement of	results?	for collecting and analyzing
	well your students attained	the outcome?		the results? (2) How were
If this is a graduate program,	the outcome? (2) In what			they shared with the
indicate the Graduate	course or other required			program's faculty?
Student Learning Outcome*	experience did the			
each outcome aligns with.	assessment occur?			
1. Critical Thinker	(1) Mean score of student	Student mean group score	Fa 14 = no data available	Assessment committee
	self-perception as a critical	will be 3.75 or higher on the	Sp15 = no data available	representative in conjunction
	thinker on the Adequacy of	Adequacy of Preparation exit		with department chairperson
	Preparation exit survey will be	survey as defined by the	No results were recorded on	and individuals responsible
	3.75 or higher on 5 point	operational definition	exit survey. Exit survey	for collecting exit survey
	Likert scale		administration moved to	information. Presented via
			college level. Incentivize exit	monthly assessment
	(2) Nursing 486-Nursing		survey by NURS486 faculty to	meetings.
	Synthesis		ensure results available	
2. Communicator	(1) Student in Leadership	90% of students will score at	Fa14	Assessment committee
	course (N470) will complete a	or above 75% on the project	150/150 – 24 stud.	representative in conjunction
	project scoring at or above a	as defined in the operational	148/150 – 6 stud.	with senior level leadership
	75%	definition	145/150 – 10 stud.	(N470) faculty member.
	(2) Nursing 470-Nursing		140/150 – 6 stud.	Presented via monthly
	Leadership		Cm 15	assessment meetings.
			Sp 15	
			148/150 – 6 stud.	
			145/150 – 13 stud. 142/150 – 6 stud.	
			140/150 – 0 stud.	
			135/150 – 6 stud.	
			0/150 – 2 stud.	
			LPN and RN data mixed –	
			separate out tracks	
			separate out tracks	

Provider of Care 4. Leader	(1) Evaluation shall reflect a passing score or better score in the senior reflective nursing course (N484) Readiness exit examination. (2) Nursing 484 – Reflective Nursing Practice (1) Student shall score at or above individual mean program or higher on the second attempt on the ATI RN	95% students shall reflect a passing score in the senior reflective nursing course (N484) 90% of students will achieve at or above the operational definition	Fa 14 = 100% N=12 Sp 15 = 100% N=13 Fall 14 = 8/13 = 61.5% on first take – retake data unavailable Sp 15 = 9/10 = 90%	Assessment committee representative in conjunction with senior level clinical synthesis course (N484) faculty member. Presented via monthly assessment meetings. Assessment committee representative in conjunction with ATI coordinator. Presented via monthly
	Leadership exam (2) Nursing 470- Nursing Leadership		3ρ 13 -3/10 - 30/0	assessment meetings.
5. Professional	(1) Evaluation shall reflect a passing score or better score in the senior reflective nursing course (N484) (2) Nursing 484	95% students shall reflect a passing score in the senior reflective nursing course (N484)	Fa 14 = 100% N=12 Sp 15 = 100% N=13	Assessment committee representative in conjunction with senior level clinical synthesis course (N484) faculty member. Presented via monthly assessment meetings.
6. Life Long Learner	(1) Students shall successfully complete a culture presentation in final semester nursing capstone course (N486) (2) Nursing 486	90% of students will achieve 75% or greater as defined by the operational definition.	Fa 14 = 12/12 = 100% Sp15 = 13/13 = 100%	Assessment committee representative in conjunction with senior level capstone course (N486) faculty member. Presented via monthly assessment meetings.
7. Advocate	(1) Students should analyze the role of the nurse policy developer in a variety of health care settings. As evidenced by a score of 75% or better on assignment in senior level community health course (N450) (2) Nursing 450	90% of students will successfully complete assignment of Community Health project as defined by the operational definition	Fa 14: 93.3% 100% -90%: 9 89% - 80%: 3 79%-70%: 2 69% - 60%: 0 N=14 Sp 14: 88.9% 100% - 90%: 5 89% - 80%: 2 79% - 70%: 2 69% - 60%: 0 N=9	Assessment committee representative in conjunction with senior level community health course (N450) faculty member. Presented via monthly assessment meetings.

8. Coordinator of Community Resources	(1) Student shall score at or above level 2 proficiency or higher on the second attempt on the ATI RN Community Health exam	90% of students will achieve operational definition	Fa 14 = 13/14 = 92.9% Sp 15 = 8/9 = 88.9%	Assessment committee representative in conjunction with ATI coordinator. Presented via assessment meetings.
	(2) Nursing 450 – Community Health Nursing			

Part One Fall 2015 * please note that the department switched to new curriculum during this calendar year.

Part Two

In no more than one page, summarize 1) the discoveries assessment has enabled you to make about your students' learning, the curriculum, departmental processes, and/or the assessment plan itself; 2) the changes and improvements you have made or will make in response to these discoveries and/or the coordinator's feedback on the previous summary; and 3) what your assessment plan will focus on in the coming year.

- 1. Assessment at the course and department level is conducted on a routine basis throughout monthly assessment meetings that is attended by our entire department faculty. Each faculty member within each course is provided with a yearly schedule of course review each monthly meeting. Lead faculty members are required to present course specific assessment data and facilitate discussion amongst their peers regarding methods, expectations, tools etc. Discoveries of assessment are always ongoing and typically driven by faculty teaching the courses or data collected in each course.
- 2. One large change this year was the discussion and analytics regarding our standardized testing system ATI. The determination to change vendors was driven from outcomes from both assessment and curriculum data including cost of students. Assessment of information technology and lack of informatics curriculum/assessment was discovered throughout the past year as well. Improvements were discussed and the creation of NURS 317 was proposed as a new course to implement and assess.
- 3. The upcoming year will bring very little substantial changes as prior changes are still being implemented and data collected.

If you would like to reference any supporting materials (departmental meeting minutes, detailed assessment results, etc.), please provide the URL at which they can be found.

^{*} See https://www2.indstate.edu/graduate/forms/review.pdf.

Student Learning Summary Report Rubric :: Office of Assessment & Accreditation :: Indiana State University

Degree Program: RN to BS Completion Program Date: 7.29.16

	Level 0 – Undeveloped	Level 1 – Developing	Level 2 – Mature	Level 3 – Exemplary
1. Student Learning Outcomes	No outcomes are identified.	Outcomes were identified Some of the outcomes are specific and measurable. Some of the outcomes are student-centered. A Curriculum Map was provided.	Outcomes are specific, measurable, student-centered program outcomes. Outcomes at least indirectly support Foundational Studies Learning Outcomes or the Graduate Learning Goals. The Curriculum Map identifies where/to what extent each outcome is addressed. At least one outcome was assessed in this cycle.	□ Outcomes are specific, measurable, student-centered program outcomes that and span multiple learning domains. □ Outcomes directly integrate with Foundational Studies Learning Outcomes or the Graduate Learning Goals. □ Outcomes reflect the most important results of program completion (as established by an accreditor or other professional organization). □ Learning outcomes are consistent across different modes of delivery (face-to-face and online.) □ Outcomes are regularly reviewed (and revised, if necessary) by the faculty and other stakeholders. □ The Curriculum Map identifies where/to what extent each outcome is addressed and offers evidence that students have sufficient opportunity to master the associated learning outcomes. □ Two or more outcomes were

					assessed in this cycle.
2.	Measures & Performance Goals	□ No measures are provided. □ No goals for student performance are identified.	Measures are provided, but some are vague and/or do not clearly assess the associated outcomes. Measures are primarily indirect. Measures include course and/or assignment grades, but there is no evidence that grades are calibrated to the outcomes. Performance goals are identified, but they are unclear or inappropriate.	At least one direct measure was provided for each outcome. Some information is provided to suggest that measures are appropriate to the outcomes being assessed. Measures include course and/or assignment grades, and general information is provided to indicate that grades are calibrated to the outcomes. Clear and appropriate standards for performance are identified. Mechanisms (rubrics, checklists, criterion-referenced exams, etc.) were provided.	Multiple measures were provided, and a majority are direct. Detailed information is provided to show that measures are appropriate to the outcomes being assessed. Measures include course and/or assignment grades, and specific evidence is provided to demonstrate that grades are calibrated to the outcomes. Clear and appropriate standards for performance are identified and justified. If students are required to pass a certification or licensure exam to practice in the field, this was included as a measure. Measures assess some high impact practices (internships, capstone course projects, undergraduate research, etc.) Some measures allow performance to be gauged over time, not just in a single course. Mechanisms (rubrics, checklists, criterion-referenced exams, etc.) were provided that demonstrate that the measure provides clear evidence of what students know/can do.

				If a measure is used to assess
				more than one outcome, a clear
				explanation is offered to
				substantiate how this is effective.
3. Results	No data are being	Some data are being	Data are being collected and	Clear, specific, and complete
5. Results	collected.	collected.	analyzed.	details about data collection,
	conected.	conected.	anaryzeu.	analysis, and interpretation of
	No information is	Some data are being	Results are provided.	results are provided to
	provided about the data	analyzed.		demonstrate the validity and
	collection process.	,	Some information is offered	usefulness of the assessment
	•	Some results are provided.	to demonstrate that data	process.
	No results are provided.		collection, analysis, and	
		☐ Insufficient information is	interpretation processes are	Students generally are
	Students are meeting	offered to demonstrate that	valid and meaningful.	achieving the performance
	few of the performance	data collection, analysis, and		standards expected of them and
	standards set for them.	interpretation processes are	Students generally are	demonstrate continuous
		valid.	achieving the performance	improvement on standards they
		Students are achieving some	standards expected of them.	have yet to achieve/achieve less well.
		of the performance standards		well.
		expected of them.		If students are required to
		CAPOSCOG OF CITCHIN		pass a certification or licensure
				exam to practice in the field, the
				pass rate meets the established
				benchmark.
4. Engagement &	No one is assigned	The same faculty member is	Multiple faculty members	All program faculty
Improvement	responsibility for assessing	responsible for collecting and	are engaged in collecting and	members are engaged in
	individual measures.	analyzing most/all assessment	analyzing results.	collecting and analyzing results.
		results.		
	Assessment primarily is		Results regularly are shared	Faculty regularly and
	the responsibility of the	It is not clear that results are	with the faculty.	specifically reflect on students'
	program chair.	shared with the faculty as a whole on a regular basis.	The faculty regularly engages	recent achievement of performance standards and
	No improvements	wildle dii a regulai basis.	in meaningful discussions about	implement plans to adjust
	(planned or actual) are	☐ Plans for improvement are	the results of assessment.	activities, performance goals,
	identified.	provided, but they are not clear		outcomes, etc. according to
		and/or do not clearly connect to	These discussions lead to the	established timelines.
	☐ No reflection is offered	the results.	development of specific,	
	about previous results or		relevant plans for improvement.	Faculty and other important

	plans.	Little reflection is offered about previous results or plans.	☐ Improvements in student	stakeholders reflect on the history and impact of previous
			learning have occurred as the result of assessment.	plans, actions, and results, and participate in the development of recommendations for
				improvement. Continuous improvement in student learning occurs as the result of assessment.
				Outcomes and results are easily accessible to stakeholders on/from the program website.
				Assessment is integrated with teaching and learning.
Overall Rating	Level 0 – Undeveloped	Level 1 - Developing	Level 2 – Mature	Level 3 – Exemplary

COMMENTS

Strengths, Concerns, Recommendations for Improvement

1. Learning Outcomes

No learning outcomes are included in the RN to BSN Student Learning Summary Report, only the general category of the over-arching objective (e.g., critical thinker, communicator). Please be sure to identify the specific outcomes that were assessed.

2. Measures & Performance Goals

Multiple measures are identified, including projects, a presentation, and the licensure exam. But without knowing what the specific outcomes are, it is difficult to gauge their appropriateness. It is safe to say that more detail would be useful. For example, what does the "culture presentation" that assesses lifelong learning dispositions entail? What's in the evaluation that assesses provider of care? Thanks for including an indirect measure (exit survey). I hope that plans to incentivize participation pan out, and that you have results in the near future.

3. Results

Students appear to be achieving standards set for most outcomes, but in some cases it is difficult to tell. The standards for outcomes 4 and 5, for example, are geared to an undefined "operational definition." Did students achieve these standards?

4. Engagement & Improvement

As was the case with the LPN-BS report, it is clear that everyone is involved in assessing student learning, and that conversations occur on a very regular basis. But there is little detail here about what you've learned about student learning from all of your efforts and how it specifically is related to the outcomes you assessed. What assessment results led to the development of the new course you reference? Have previous changes (including the new curriculum) improved student performance? Is there evidence that overall, students are achieving learning outcomes and that continuous improvement is occurring? I look forward to learning more from next year's plan!

Just curious: Except that there is one fewer learning outcome, there appears to be no difference between this assessment plan and that for the LPN to BS. Shouldn't there be?